

Final results from study 181: Randomized phase III study of FOLFIRI with or without panitumumab (pmab) for the treatment of second-line metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC).

Alberto F. Sobrero, Marc Peeters, Timothy Jay Price, Yevhen Hotko, Andres Cervantes-Ruiperez, Michel Ducreux, Thierry Andre, Emily Chan, Florian Lordick, Ying Tian and Roger Sidhu

Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria San Martino, Genova, Italy; Antwerp University Hospital, Edegem, Belgium; The Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Adelaide, Australia; Uzhgorod National University, Uzhgorod, Ukraine; Department of Hematology and Medical Oncology, INCLIVA, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain; Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France; Pitie-Salpetriere Hospital, Paris, France; Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN; National Center for Tumor Diseases, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany; Amgen Inc., Thousand Oaks, CA

Abstract Disclosures

Abstract

387

Background: In the primary analysis of study 181, pmab+FOLFIRI significantly improved progression-free survival (PFS) vs FOLFIRI as second-line therapy in patients (pts) with wild-type (WT) *KRAS* mCRC. Here, we report the results of a prespecified final descriptive analysis planned for 30 months (mos) after the last patient was enrolled.

Methods: Pts were randomised 1:1 to pmab 6.0 mg/kg Q2W+FOLFIRI (Arm 1) vs FOLFIRI alone (Arm 2). Pts had no prior fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy regimen for mCRC and ECOG 0-2. The co-primary endpoints were PFS (central assessment) and OS, and were independently tested. Secondary endpoints included objective response rate (ORR), and safety. *KRAS* status was determined by a blinded central lab.

Results: 1,186 pts were randomised and received treatment (tx): 591 in Arm 1, 595 in Arm 2. 1,083/1,186 pts (91%) had *KRAS* results. Adverse event rates were consistent with the primary analysis. Results are shown in the table.

Conclusions: In Arm 1, PFS (standard and on-treatment definition) and ORR were improved, and there was a trend toward improved OS in pts with WT *KRAS* mCRC. The large proportion of pts receiving post-progression anti-EGFR therapy may have affected the ability to observe a difference in OS between the tx arms. In pts with MT *KRAS* there was no difference in efficacy. *KRAS* testing is critical to select appropriate pts for tx with pmab.

WT <i>KRAS</i> mCRC (n = 597)	Arm 1 (n = 303)	Arm 2 (n = 294)	HR (95%CI)	p value ^a
Median PFS - mos (95% CI)	6.7 (5.8-7.4)	4.9 (3.8-5.5)	0.82 (0.69-0.97)	0.023
			0.73* (0.60-0.88)	0.001*
Median OS - mos (95% CI)	14.5 (13.0-16.1)	12.5 (11.2-14.2)	0.92 (0.78-1.10)	0.366
ORR^b - % (95%CI)	36 (31-42)	10 (7-14)		

Oddsratio (95%CI)	5.50 (3.32-8.87)			< 0.0001
MT <i>KRAS</i> mCRC (n = 486)	Arm 1 (n = 238)	Arm 2 (n = 248)		
Median PFS - mos (95% CI)	5.3 (4.2-5.7)	5.4 (4.0-5.6)	0.95 (0.78-1.14)	0.561
Median OS - mos (95% CI)	11.8 (10.4-13.3)	11.1 (10.3-12.4)	0.93 (0.77-1.13)	0.482
ORR^b - % (95% CI)	13 (9-18)	15 (11-20)		
Oddsratio (95% CI)	0.93 (0.53-1.63)			0.885
Ptsreceivingpost-studyanti-EGFR tx – n (%)	Arm 1	Arm 2		
WT <i>KRAS</i>	38 (12.5)	101 (34.4)		
MT <i>KRAS</i>	21 (8.8)	79 (31.9)		

* Ontreatment; ^aDescriptive; ^bPtswithbaselinemeasurablediseasepermodified RECIST.