
System of Semantic Universals in the Sound Space Lexicon

Yuliia Yusyp-Yakymovych¹, Oksana Shovak²

¹Department of Slavic Philology, Uzhhorod National University, Uzhhorod, Ukraine

²Department of English Philology, Uzhhorod National University, Uzhhorod, Ukraine

Email address:

julia.jusyp@uzhnu.edu.ua (Yuliia Yusyp-Yakymovych), oksana.shovak@uzhnu.edu.ua (Oksana Shovak)

To cite this article:

Yuliia Yusyp-Yakymovych, Oksana Shovak. System of Semantic Universals in the Sound Space Lexicon. *International Journal of Language and Linguistics*. Vol. 11, No. 3, 2023, pp. 71-77. doi: 10.11648/j.ijll.20231103.12

Received: February 2, 2023; **Accepted:** May 8, 2023; **Published:** May 17, 2023

Abstract: One of the central problems of typological linguistics is the identification and study of common features inherent in all or most languages. All natural languages have common and distinctive regularities. The common patterns established as a result of the intersection of linguistic features in different languages by means of the comparative method are called linguistic universals. The linguistic universals established in this way in different languages of different families belong to one of the central problems of typological linguistics, to universology or linguistics of universals. The paper is a continuation of the study of absolute universals that know no exceptions or are characteristic of almost all languages of the world. The aim of the study is the system of semantic universals. Using the comparative and descriptive methods, the material of the Indo-European and Ugro-Finnish languages, representing the lexicon of the sound space of 25 languages of different language groups and families, has been traced. The languages under comparison belong to different language systems, but they have absolute universal onomatopoeic semantic formations. The reason for this typology lies in the closeness of these universals to the natural sounds of the reality, which are imitated by different language systems. In all the languages of the world, the objective basis of the studied semantic universals is extra-linguistic sound, imitation of the phenomena of sound space, because they arise in the same sphere of semantics in all languages - noise, creaking, screaming, hitting - sound in general. The formation of semantic universals is determined by two factors: extra-linguistic, or universal, independent of a particular language, and linguistic, which manifests itself in different ways depending on a particular language. The reason for this typology lies in the closeness of such semantic universals to the natural sounds of the surrounding reality, which are imitated by different language systems. Consequently, their sound shells are related to the corresponding phenomena of objective reality through their semantics. The proposed study is a contribution to the development of the theory of universals or universology as a separate science, which at this stage of linguistics development is separated from typological linguistics.

Keywords: Typology, The System of Semantic Universals, Sound Space, Acoustic Components, Onomatopoeic, Semantic and Phonetic Motivation, Universology

1. Introduction

The article is a continuation of the authors' research into linguistic semantic universals in the field of onomatopoeics of different language groups [13, 14]. The subject of the study, in addition to Slavic and Germanic, were various language families, and Ugro-Finnic formations in particular. As it has already been noted, there are a number of works based on non-Indo-European onomatopoeic material. L. Hakulinen noted that the category of "descriptive words" in

the Finnish language "is so diverse and vital that it should be considered as one of the most characteristic features of the Finnish language vocabulary" [22].

Of the other languages of the Ugro-Finnic group, sound imitation in Hungarian is the most studied. These inquiries are represented by the works of V. Skalichka, Z. Gombots, K. Maitinska. Numerous onomatopoeic formations in the Altai languages were studied by G. Ramstedt. The linguist drew attention to the insufficient study of onomatopoeia in these languages: "Onomatopoeic words have often been discussed in connection with different languages, but, as far as I know,

no one has ever tried to exhaustively and systematically investigate this type of words " [43]. In Turkic languages, the first to study onomatopoeia was M. I. Ashmarin (in Chuvash) [1-3]. A bit later studies were conducted by V. K. Dmitriev (Turkic mimology) [8], and G. E. Kornilov (in the Chuvash language) [11]. In the Turkish language onomatopoeia was studied by M. Bittner [29]. From the typological point of view, onomatopoeia in English and Bashkir is considered in the works of L. Lapkina [15].

A deep insight into the problem of onomatopoeia in Semitic languages is presented in the works of A. M. Gazov-Ginzberg [7]. In addition to Semitic, the author analyzes the onomatopoeic roots of more than ten languages of four unrelated language families of the world and comes to conclusions confirming the thesis of the eternal connection of sound with meaning in the emergence of human language in general.

The diversity of onomatopoeic formations in languages with ideographic writing, namely Japanese, has been also studied recently [23]. There are a number of works on onomatopoeia based on Indo-European material. These are the works by S. Y. Lurie [16], M. Y. Korzhinek [36], E. Schwentner [45]. In modern Indian linguistics, onomatopoeia in Hindi was studied by I. A. Gazieva [6].

The works of W. Wundt [48], V. Kosov [12], G. Hilmer [34], G. Sommer [44] are devoted to the onomatopoeic phenomena of Germanic languages. They point to the connection between sound and meaning [14].

The onomatopoeia of Romance languages is studied in the works of M. Grammon [32] and J. Jordan [35]. Onomatopoeia in the Romanian language has been described in the works of J. Jordan (ibid.), A. Graur [33], as well as in the papers of the Czech novelist Z. Wittoch [47]. French onomatopoeia was considered in the works of M. Grammon (ibid.). V. Diego [31] touched upon the problems of onomatopoeia in the Spanish language. Onomatopoeic issues of Italian have been described by L. Spitzer [46], and of Latin by A. Graur (ibid.). The significant onomatopoeic material of the Lithuanian language was collected and interpreted by L. Leskin [39].

Various aspects of onomatopoeia in the Slavic languages were studied in the works of D. Boranych [30], F. Kopechny [37], Y. Korzhinek (ibid.), R. Smal-Stotskyi [19], S. M. Tolstaya [20], H. Bilfeldt [28], Y. Yusyp-Yakymovych [25].

2. The Main Context

The basic points of the theory of onomatopoeics have already been formed, but the typology has not yet been finally clarified. The subject of our consideration is onomatopoeic formations in different groups of languages - Slavic, Germanic, and Ugro-Finnic (25 languages in total), which are semantic universals and confirm their typology.

For example, the onomatopoeic Proto-Slavic **gagati* has counterparts in the Slavic languages - Polish, Slavic, Slovenian, Croatian, Russian, Ukrainian; similar is Middle High German *gágen*, German *gagágen*, *gackern*, etc. (ESJČ,

p. 148); cf. Slovak *gagotat'* in the figurative meaning 'to talk a lot about people, (especially women)': *Dievčata ozajstnededinské husi, gagocú, ako ktorej pride* (SSJ I, s. 358). Cf. German *gackeln/gackern* 'to cluck; gossip, gossip'; cf. *gágat*, in the figurative sense of 'contemptuous about the sounds of the throat'. Cf. Hungarian *gége, gargol, gangol*; in Hungarian, there are two verbs: *gágogni* (ludak) and *hápogni* (kacsák), English - *cack·le* - when a chicken cackles, it makes a loud high sound (LDOCE).

V. Skalichka connects Hungarian forms with other languages, where there are parallels: "There should be no doubt about their common origin in two related languages... It seems to me that we should not deny so unconditionally the connection of Hungarian *gagogni* "to cackle " with such Finno-Ugric words as Udmurt *gagakt, gakket*, Mordovian. *gagan, kagan...* [18].

A semantic universal is a verb formed from the onomatopoeia of a frog and a duck, which is figuratively used in the sense of speech: *quak* (Hungarian *kvák*) - 1. Onomatopoeia - frogs and ducks; *quaken* (quakeln) / *kvákogni* (kacsa, béka) - to croak, croak; 2. trans.: to speak unpleasantly, tiresomely. In the Hungarian language, there is another onomatopoeic verb formed in the same way: *brek* - sound imitation of a frog, from which *brekegni* (béka) with the same meaning comes, e.g. *Im Teich quakten die Frösche. - A tócsában kvákogtak a békák. Frogs croaked in the pond.* In English, the verb *croak* is used to make a deep low sound like the sound a frog makes.

The use of the sound imitation of birds does not have such a dismissive attitude to speech as the transfer of the processes of sound formation of animals to human speech: *gackeln/gackern/* (to cluck). All these variants of one verb are formed from the exclamation *gack, gack*, which means the clucking of chickens. The Hungarian verb *kotkodácsolni* is used in this sense: *Die Mädchen sitzen auf der Bank und gackeln - A lányok a lócán ülnek és kotkodácsolnak. The girls sit on the bench and chatter. Sie haben immer etwas zu gackeln. - Nekik mindig van miről kotkodácsolni.*

The linguistic universal is the verb *krákat*, which is a common Slavic verb: slovin. *krákat'*, pol. *krekorac, krororac* (a free reproduction of the cry *kor-kor*; cf. Czech *krákati* 'about the sounds of crows, hens, roosters, etc.', used in the figurative sense 'to tell, to talk (disparagingly)'. Here also belongs the *krák-or-ati* form; in words of this type such a variation is not surprising. Other languages have similar formations: Latin *crocare*, Lithuanian *krōkti*, Greek *κρῶζω* (ESJČ, s. 288). V. Mahek also cites *krkati krákati* about ravens, frogs, hens; figuratively 'to talk blasphemously, to gossip' (ESJČ, s. 293).

A widespread variant is *krekat*, *krakati* 'to croak; quack, croak'-in the southern Slavs: Bulg. *kryakam* 'to quack; quack', Macedonian *kreka* 'quacks', Croat. *krekat* 't.s.'- in western Slavs: Polish.

krakac, Czech *krakat, krekat* 'to shout (about a vulture, hen, partridge)', High Lusatian *krakac, krjakas*; - in Eastern Slavs: R. *kryakat*, Br. *krakats*, Ukr. *kryakaty* 'to quack; quack' (SUM, III, p. 116).

Cf. German *krähen* 'to crow (of a rooster) and *quack-* to make the sound a duck makes (LDCE). Cf. Hungarian *korog* 'to grumble, hoot', *karog* 'to caw (about a crow)', *gagog* 'to cackle', *kong* 'to sound deaf' (e.g., about an empty hall), *huhog* 'to hoot (about an owl)'.
 The semantic universality of *meow*, *miaow* is widespread in all Indo-European languages - cf. Slavic Ukrainian, Russian, br. *мяукаць*, n. *miau*, sl. *mňau*, Czech *mňoukati*, *mňučet* in the figurative sense of 'to speak inarticulately, to beg'; Czech *maukati* and *mňaukati*; many dialectal forms: *mňā(ň)čet mňāgat*, also with the meaning 'to whine, complain, to annoy someone, etc.' - by origin *mau-k-ati* is sound-imitative in other languages: Croatian *maukati*, Slovenian *mavkati*; with softness in Russian *meow*, Polish *miauczeć*, English - *meow* - if a cat miaows, it makes a cryingsound (LDCE).

In Czech, the actual Czech transition *m > mň* (as *město* is pronounced *mňěsto*), and the *au > ou* diphthong. W. Mahek refers to German *m(i)auen* (ESJČ, s. 371); High Lusatian *mjawkać*, *mijaw*, Bolg. *mi aukam*, Chroat. *māy*, *māy*; Slovak. *mavkati*, *mijavkati*; - onomatopoeic formations inherited from Proto-Indo-European language; - cf. l. h. germ. *miauen*, *mauen* 'to mew', German *miauen*, O. E. *mawen*, Eng. *mew*, *miaow*, Fr. *Miauler*; Dutch *Mauwen*, Norv. *mjaue*, Pers. *maw*; in some non-Indo-European languages: Tur. *maw-*, *mijaw-*, *mijavla-* 'to mew', Chinese - *miau* 'a cat' (SUM, III, p. 349). Cf. German *miauen*: *Die Katze miaute* and Hungarian *nyávogni*: *A macska nyávogott*.

The onomatopoeic formation *mekati*, *mekotati* is treated as a semantic universal: cf. Proto-Slavic *mekotati*, 'to make sounds similar to the bleating of a sheep', Ukr. *меркотати*, cf. Czech *mekati*, *mek(o)tati*, *mechtat* in the figurative sense 'to speak quietly, under one's breath', S.-Czech *míkat* is a vivid example of onomatopoeia (e.g. Croatian *mekati*; Lithuanian *mekėnti*, Gr. *μηκόμαι*, which indicates the antiquity of this verb formation (ESJČ, p. 358). Noun formations are not functioning in Czech. Ukrainian literary *mekaty* 'to shout, to make sounds peculiar to a goat, sheep, etc.' in its figurative meaning possesses the one of 'to speak unintelligibly, stretching words and inserting "me" sounds between them' (SUM, IV, p. 668). In German we fix the lexeme *meckern*: *Die Ziegen meckern* and in Hungarian - *mekeg*, *mekegni*: *A kecskének mekegnek. Neki mindig mekegni kell valamiért*.

Two nouns are formed from *meckern / mekegni*, which in both German and Hungarian colloquial language have a pejorative meaning and a high degree of expressiveness: *der Meckerer/mekegő* - the one who always grumbles; *die Meckerei/mekegés* - grumbling.

In his theory of Hungarian sound imitations V. Skalichka noted: "Words that have a significant element of sound imitation, very accurately conveying the sound, are repeated in different languages. Therefore, we cannot, for example, consider the Hungarian word *mekegni* a borrowing from the Czech word *mekati* or vice versa" [18].

V. Skalichka explains such universals by the closeness of their sound to natural sound: "On the other hand, we are

obliged to assume considerable stability, constancy of this category of words. This stability and continuity are supported primarily by the proximity to the real sound. The latter prevents phonetic change and replacement of the words in question by other words. Secondly, such stability is due to the influence of foreign languages in which similar words are represented. Therefore, such words persist for centuries without being replaced by others" (Skalicka 1967: 301).

The same universality is the verb formed from the sound imitation of frog croaking and duck quacking: *quak* (Hungarian *kvák*) - 1. the sound of a frog and a duck; *quaken* (*quakeln*)/ *kvákogni* (kacsa, béka) - to croak, quack; 2. figurative meaning: to speak unpleasantly, annoyingly. In Hungarian, there is another onomatopoeic verb formed in the same way: *brek* - a sound imitation of a frog, from which comes *brekegni* (*béka*) with the same meaning: e.g. *Im Teich quakten die Frösche*. - *A tócsában kvákogtak a békák* - frogs croaked in the pond, cf. Hung. *kvákog* - *vartyog*, *kuruttyol*. Cf. Gr. *κοίζειν*, Lat. *quir*; *kvokatiť*. *kwokać*, Ukr. *квокаць*, Rus. *квокаць*, Croatian *kvocati*, English - *squawk*. All of the above verbs are used in the sense of speech verbs; the closest one is V. Mahek's Lith. *kvakseti*; with the sound *l* is similar German *glucken*, Latvian *klukšēt*, Latin *glōciō*, Greek *κλώσαω* (ESJČ, s. 313).

The lexical unit that characterizes the speech of *murmur*; Ukr. *murmotaty*, burmotity, murkaty; Russian *mormetat*, in the same meaning is fixed in the Belarusian, cf. Czech *mrmlati*. Similar forms function in Czech *mrmlati*, Pol. *marmotac*, Slovak, Slovenian *mrmrati*, - Proto-Slavic *m*brm-blati*, as well as in non-Slavic languages - in Lithuanian the lexeme *murmuliūoti* is fixed in the sense of 'to speak unclearly': germ. *murmeln* 'to mutter', Lat. *murmurāre*, Gr. *μормυρω*; Turk. *myrla-* 'муркотити, бурмотити', English - *mur·mur-* to say something in a softquietvoice that is difficult to hear clearly (LDCE). The sound-imitative reduplicated base *mur-* is used with various dissimilations. Similar formations function in Semitic, Turkic-Tatar languages, and Georgian. Here also belong *mumlati* and *brblat* (SUM, III, p. 538).

Czech fixed such lexemes as *mumlati*, as well as *mumrati*, *mamrati*, *mumlati*. Similar forms are used in other languages (Slovene. *mumlat*, Serbian *mumlati*, Ukrainian *mymryty*, *mymraty*). All these formations in Slavic are from Proto-Slavic **mъrmlati*, which goes back to the Proto-Indo-European root, cf. German *mumme*(l)n). Cf. also Czech *mamlati*: *mamlati*, *muchlati*, in Pol. dialects *mumlac* 'to eat while slurping'. In Upper Lusatian there is *mamla* with various pejorative meanings, among others 'coward', this meaning is from German *memmel* 'coward'; in Lusatian - *mamlat* 'to speak incomprehensibly' (ESJČ, p. 350). German *murmeln* 'mumble something under your breath'. cf. Hungarian *morog*, *mormol* 'to grumble, mutter'.

There is a well-known onomatopoeic universal, *kuku*, which means cuckoo singing. Between the leaves somewhere a cuckoo cawed: - *Cuckoo!*; By the ponds, a cuckoo somewhere on the aspen in the morning rejoiced: - *Cuckoo!*.. *Cuckoo!*; And in the storm, noises, hoots sounded: *Cuckoo!*

Cuckoo! (SUM, IV, p. 387).

Slovak *kuku*, *kukú* napodobňuje kukanie, volanie kukučky: kukulienska, kde si bola... *kukuku*, sedela som na buku. (ľud. pieseň) kukaj, kukučka, ak *kukú*, tak *kukú* (SSJ, I, s. 789); *kuk*, *kukuk* 1. napodobňuje hlas kukučky; 2. naznačuje nakuknutie: Vedia očami blýskať: *Kuk* sme, *kuk* tam (SSJ, I, s. 788).

Cf. German *kuckuck kuck* 'to cry cuckoo'; derivative of *der Kuckuck* 'cuckoo' (Duden, p. 1067). Cf. Hungarian *kotkodacsol* 'cluck', *kukorekol* 'cuckoo', *kakukk!* (*a kakukk madár hangja*), *kakukk* (cuckoo).

3. Discussion

Onomatopoeia, functioning in non-Indo-European and Indo-European languages (as evidenced by our review of scientific studies of different groups of languages belonging to different language families), provides an opportunity to direct typological research, in particular, to a relatively new direction - *thelinguistics of universals*. "The main peculiarity of universalism is that it seeks to discover linguistic universals inductively and at the same time wants to treat things in terms common to all languages" [9].

The clearest definition of linguistic universals is given in the "Memorandum on Linguistic Universals", authored by D. Greenberg, C. Ozgood, and D. Jenkins: "For all their infinite diversity, all languages are created according to the same model. Some cross-linguistic similarities and identities have been formalized, others have not, but linguists conducting research are in many cases somehow aware of their existence and use them as guidelines in the analysis of languages" - the memorandum states [9].

R. Jakobson in his works of different periods has repeatedly noted that the way to the study of linguistic universals, which together should represent the structure of human language in general, lies through the typological study of languages. "The languages of the world may, in fact, be regarded as manifold variations of one theme which covers the whole world - human language", he noted [26].

R. Jakobson proposed specific linguistic universals - a scale of differential features as elementary phonological units, which can be used to describe the phonemes of any language. According to R. Jakobson, universals can form a kind of system by themselves [26].

One of the systems of semantic universals is onomatopoeia - conditional reproduction of different sounds of the world, i.e. sound space with the help of the language system. This category is "alive", "vital", and constantly developing, according to B. Malmberg, it is "invaluable linguistic material" [41], and according to R. Smal-Stotsky (ibid.), "the sphere where the true creativity of language is instinctively felt, where the unheated lava of language still bubbles in variable vocalism and consonance".

Onomatopoeia in any language has as its objective basis the extra-linguistic sound - the sound space of a person. The formation of onomatopoeia is determined by two factors - extra-linguistic, or "universal" (independent of a particular

language), and linguistic (which manifests itself in different ways, depending on the particular language). The sound, which is the imitation of extra-linguistic sound or phonetic motivation is a characteristic feature for onomatopoeia and their formations. A person imitates sound as a result of world cognition.

Studying the onomatopoeia of the Hungarian language, V. Skalichka noted: "... onomatopoeic word is not identical and cannot be identical to the sounds of the outside world. The sounds of language are elements of the language system, while the sounds of the outside world are an integral part of the real - world system. Thus, they act as components of different systems and, therefore, cannot be identical. And even if the sound of speech physically corresponded exactly to the natural sound, it could not be identical to it" [18].

Some linguists consider the motivation of onomatopoeia as a semantic one rather than phonetic, based on the fact that it is characteristic of a large number of languages.

S. Ullmann, for example, defines onomatopoeic motivation as a semantic universal that exists in almost all languages [21]. The reason for this similarity is that in all languages of the world, the objective basis of onomatopoeia is the extra-linguistic sound. After all, onomatopoeia in all languages appears in the same field of meaning - scream, noise, creak, etc., and sound in general.

An equally important feature of sound imitations is that, unlike the words of neonomatopoeic vocabulary, which have a generalized meaning, onomatopoeia always conveys a specific meaning. Exploring onomatopoeia in the language of Komi, D. V. Bubrykh noted that onomatopoeias give tangled images, details whose generalizing power is extremely small, for them there is not, for example, just being, but there are countless different ways of falling, for them there is not just walking, but there are countless different ways of walking [4].

S. Ullmann noted: "It is well known that there is often a striking similarity between onomatopoeic elements (despite their conventionality) in different languages... Undoubtedly, it is indicative that there is a sound similarity in the names of the cuckoo not only in Indo-European languages but also between Indo-European and some Ugro-Finnish languages" (ibid.), cf. in English - *cuck·oo* - a grey European bird that puts its eggs in other birds' nests and that makes a sound that sounds like its name.

As in other languages, V. Skalicka notes that almost all onomatopoeic words in Hungarian have a word-forming suffix: *-og-* (*eg-*, *-og-*), *-ol-* (*-el-*), *-an-* (*-en-*), e.g. *nyavog*, *brekeg*, *kohog*, *lohol*, *kotkodacsol*, *kukorekol*, *robban*, *szisszen*, etc. [18].

4. Conclusion

The content basis of onomatopoeic universals is always an acoustic component accompanied by a movement component. These two components are obligatory constituents of such universals. Thus, in the above universals, the two factors - extra-linguistic or "universal" (independent of a particular language) and linguistic almost coincide, giving a typology.

The reason for this typology lies in the closeness of onomatopoeic formations to the natural sound of the reality.

Borrowings also demonstrate onomatopoeic formations. The source of Hungarian onomatopoeias, if they are borrowings, according to V. Skalichka, are the same languages from which the words – onomatopoeias are borrowed, that is, Turkish, Slavic languages, German, Romanian, etc. Along with the words from these languages, Hungarian probably has words from the languages of the pre-Indo-European population of Europe. Hungarian words of such origin probably penetrated into Hungarian, most likely through the mediation of some third language.

The proposed study is a contribution to the development of the theory of universals or universology as a separate science, which at this stage of linguistics development is separated from typological linguistics.

List of Abbreviations Used

SUM: Словник української мови. – К.: Наукова думка, 1970-1980.- Т.1-11. [Dictionary of the Ukrainian language.- K.: Scientific opinion. 1970-1980.- P.1-11.]

Duden: Duden. Deutsches Universalwörterbuch. – Mannheim: Dudenverlag, 2011. – 2112 S.

ESJČ: Machek V. Etymologický slovník jazyka českého. – Praha, 1957.- 866 с.

SSJ: Slovník slovenského jazyka. - I-VI diel – Bratislava: Slovenské pedagogické nakladateľstvo. – 1960-1965.

LDCE: Longman Dictionary of Contemporary English.

Available Form

Eng.	English
Bulg.	Bulgarian
Belarus.	Belarusian
High Lusatian	High Lusatian
Dutch	Dutch
Old Engl., O. E.	Old English
Danish	Danish
Chinese	Chinese
Lat.	Latin
Morav.	Moravian
I. h. germ.	Low High German
German	German
Low Lusatian	High Lusatian
Norw.	Norwegian
Pers.	Persian
Pol.p.	Polish
Cf.	compare
Rus.	Russian
Slov.	Slovak
Turk.	Turkish
Hung.	Hungarian
Ukr.	Ukrainian
Fr.	French
Chroat.	Chroatian
Czech	Czech

References

- [1] Ашмарин, Н. (1918).- Основы чувашской мимологии [Ashmarin, N. (1918). Fundamentals of Chuvash mimology. – Kazan: 120 p.]
- [2] Ашмарин, Н. (1925) Подражания в языках Среднего Поволжья [J] Известия Азербайджанского университета. Общественные науки. [Ashmarin, N. (1925). Imitations in the languages of the Middle Volga region / Izvestiya Azerbaycanogo Universiteta. Social Sciences. - V. 2-3: 143-154; V. 4-5: 75-99. - Baku.]
- [3] Ашмарин, Н. (1928). О морфологических категориях подражаний в чувашском языке. [Ashmarin, N. (1928). On the morphological categories of imitations in the Chuvash language. - Kazan - 160 p.]
- [4] Бубрих Д. В. (1949). К проблеме изобразительной речи // Учен. зап. Карело-финского ун-та. Исторические и филологические науки. [Bubrich D. V. (1949) To the Problem of Pictorial Speech // Uchen. zap. Karelian-Finnish Univ. Historical and philological sciences. - Petrozavodsk - V. 3. - Issue. I: 85-94.]
- [5] Воронин, С. В. (1968). К обоснованию объективной классификации ономатопов. Литовская республиканская конференция по вопросам германистики, романистики и классической филологии. Доклады и сообщения [Voronin S. V. (1968). To justify the objective classification of onomatopoeics. Lithuanian Republican Conference on Germanic, Romanistic, and Classical Philology. Reports and thesis. - Vilnius: 56-63.]
- [6] Газиева, И. А. (2013). Семантика ономатопозитической лексики в хинди. Проблемы зівставної семантики. [Gazieva I. A. (2013) Semantics of onomatopoeic vocabulary in Hindi. Problems of comparative semantics (J). - Issue. 2. - K.: KNLU Publishing Centre: 268-273.]
- [7] Газов-Гинзберг, А. М. (1965). Был ли язык изобразителен в своих истоках? [Gazov-Ginsberg, A. M. (1965) Was language representational in its origins? - M. – 183 p.]
- [8] Дмитриев, К. Н. (1962). К изучению тюркской мимологии // Дмитриев Н. К. Строй тюркских языков. [Dmitriev K. N. (1962). To the Study of Turkic Mimology // N. Dmitriev. K. The structure of Turkic languages. - M: 59-84.]
- [9] Звегинцев, В. А. (1964). Лингвистика универсалий. // История языкознания XIX – XX веков в очерках и извлечениях. – Ч. II. М.: Просвещение. [Zvyagintsev V. A. (1964). Linguistics of Universals // History of Linguistics of the 19th-20th Centuries in Essays and Extracts. – Part II. Moscow: Prosveshcheniye: 378-382.]
- [10] Корди, Е. Е. (1965). Значение, образование и употребление междометий в французском языке: Автореф. дис... кандидата филол. наук. Л. [Kordy E. E. (1965). The Meaning, Formation and Use of Interjections in French: Ph. Ph.D. thesis in Philology - L: 19 p.]
- [11] Корнилов, Г. Е. (1984). Имитативы в чувашском языке. – Чебоксары. [Kornilov G. E. (1984) Imitatives in the Chuvash language. - Cheboksary: 183.]
- [12] Косов, В. Т. (1963). Междометия современного немецкого языка как особый разряд слов: Автореф. дис.... кандидата филол. наук. М. [Kosow V. T. (1963) Interjections of the Modern German Language as a Special Category of Words: Ph. Candidate of Philological Sciences. - M.: 30 p.]

- [13] Ладченко, М. М., Юсип - Якимович, Ю. В. (2010). Verba dicendi: німецько-слов'янські лексичні паралелі // Сучасні дослідження з іноземної філології. Збірник наукових праць. Випуск 8. Відп. ред. Фабіан М. П. – Ужгород: ТОВ „Папірус-Ф“. [Ladchenko M. M., Yusyp - Yakymovych Y. V. (2010). Verba dicendi: Germanic-Slavic lexical parallels // Modern studies in foreign philology. Collection of scientific papers. Issue 8. Responsible ed. Fabian M. P. - Uzhhorod: LLC "Papirus-F": 296-304.]
- [14] Ладченко, М. М., Юсип-Якимович, Ю. В. (2014). - Слов'яно-германські семантичні універсалії в галузі ононамопоетики. *Studia slovakistica* 15. [Ladchenko M. M., Yusyp-Yakymovych Y. V. (2014). Slavic-Germanic semantic universals in the field of onomatopoeics // *Studia slovakistica* 15, Uzhhorod: 92-103.]
- [15] Лапкина, Л. З. (1979). Английские и башкирские акустические ономотопы (опыт типологического исследования). [Lapkina L. Z. (1979) English and Bashkir acoustic onomatopoeia (typological research): Ph.D. in Philology: 19 p.]
- [16] Лурье С. Я. (1955). Неизменяемые слова в функции сказуемого в индоевропейских языках. [Lurye S. Y. (1955). Unchangeable words in the function of the predicate in the Indo-European languages. - Lviv: Publishing house of Lviv University: 71 p.]
- [17] Рамстедт, Г. (1957). Введение в алтайское языкознание [Ramstedt G. (1957) An Introduction to Altai Linguistics. - M: 254.]
- [18] Скаличка, В. (1967). Исследование венгерских звукоподражательных выражений Пражский лингвистический кружок. [Skalichka V. (1967). A study of Hungarian sound-similar expressions // The Prague Linguistic Circle. - Moscow: Nauka: 277- 316.]
- [19] Смаль-Стоцкий, Р. (1929). Примитивный словотвір. [Smal-Stotski R. (1929). Primitive word formation. - Warszawa: 200.]
- [20] Толстая, С. М. (1971). Фонетические наблюдения над ононамопоеическими образованиями с суффиксом -ot- в верхнедужицком языке. Исследования по славянскому языкознанию [Tolstaya S. M. (1971). Phonetic observations on onomatopoeic formations with the suffix -ot- in Upper Sorbian. Studies in Slavic linguistics. - Moscow: Nauka: 272-280.]
- [21] Ульманн, С. (1970). Семантические универсалии. Новое в лингвистике 5. Языковые универсалии [Ullmann S. (1970) Semantic universals. New in Linguistics. - Issue. 5. Linguistic universals. - Moscow: Progress: 250-293.]
- [22] Хакулинен, Л. (1955). Развитие и структура финского языка. – Ч. 2. [Hakulinen L. (1955) The development and structure of the Finnish language. - PART 2. - M: 292.]
- [23] Чиронов, С. В. (2004). Ононамопозетические слова в современном японском языке (Проблемы функционирования). [Chirimonov S. V. (2004) Onomatopoeic words in the modern Japanese language (Problems of functioning): Ph.D. in Philology, M.]
- [24] Юсип–Якимович, Ю. В. (1998). Ононамопоя як абсолютна та семантична мовна універсалія. [Yusyp - Yakymovych Y. V. (1998) Onomatopoeia as an absolute and semantic language universal // Scientific Bulletin of Uzhhorod University: Philology. - No. 3 – Uzhhorod: 40-46.]
- [25] Юсип–Якимович Ю. В. (2007). Ононамопоетика українських говорів Карпат: семантична, фонетична, словотвірна структура та похідність ононамопів [Yusyp-Yakymovych Y. V. (2007). Onomatopoeics of Ukrainian dialects of the Carpathians: semantic, phonetic, word-formation structure and derivation of onomatopoeia: Uzhhorod: Grazhda: 268.]
- [26] Якобсон, Р. 1965. Значение лингвистических универсалий для языкознания. Перевод В. В. Шеворошкина. Звегинцев В. А. История языкознания XIX – XX веков в очерках и извлечениях. [Yakobson R. (1965). Significance of linguistic universals for linguistics. Translation by V. V. Shevoroshkin. // Zveginitsev V. A. History of linguistics in the 19th - 20th centuries in essays and extracts. –M.]
- [27] Bilfeldt, H. H. (1982). Onomatopoeica im Sörbischen und Deutschen // *Zeitschrift für Slavistik*. – N 3. – S. 323-331.
- [28] Bilfeldt, H. H. (1986). Ононамопозетика в серболужицком и немецком // IX Международный съезд славистов. – Киев, сентябрь, 1983 / Материалы дискуссии. Языкознание // Onomatopoeics in Serbian Lusatian and German // IX International Congress of Slavists. - Kyiv, September, 1983 / Proceedings of the conference. Linguistics. – K. Naukova Dumka: 217-222.
- [29] Bittner, M. (1912). Die onomatopoeische Verba der Türkischen. – Wiener z. f. d. Kunde 2. Morgenlanges. – Bd. 26. – S. 263-269.
- [30] Boranič, D. (1909). Ононамопозетске riječi za životinje u slavenskim jezicima. – Rad Jugoslavenske Akademije Znanosti i Umjetnosti. – CL XXVIII. – Zagreb. – S. 1-86.
- [31] Diego, V. (1965) de. Etimologías naturales. – Revista de dialectología y tradiciones populares. – Vol. 20. N 1/2. – P. 3-39.
- [32] Grammont, M. 1901. Onomatopées et mots expressifs // *Revue des langues romanes*. – Vol. 44. – N 1. – P. 97-158.
- [33] Graur, A. 1953. Contribuții la studiul raportului dintre forma și continutul cuvintelor // *Studii și cercetări lingvistice*. - Vol. 4. – P. 89-97.
- [34] Hilmer, H. 1914. Schallnachahmung Wortschöpfung und Bedeutungswandel. – Halle. – 356 s.
- [35] Iordan, I. (1962). *Lingvistica romanica (Evolutie. Curente. Metode)*. – București: 439 p.
- [36] Kořínek, J. (1934). Studie z oblastí onomatopoeje. – Praha: 296.
- [37] Kopečný, F. (1957). Slavistický příspěvek k problému t. zv. elementární příbuznosti // *Езиковедински изследвания в чест на академик Стефан Младенов*. – София: 363-387.
- [38] Ladtschenko, M. (2011). Onomatopoeische Verben des Sprechens in der deutschen Umgangssprache // *Germanistik in der Ukraine. Jahrbuch 6*. – K., 2011: 109-112.
- [39] Leskien, A. (1903). Schallnachahmung und Schallverba in Litauischen // *Indogermanische Forschungen*. – Bd. 13: 165-212.
- [40] Machek, V. (1930). Studie o tvoření výrazů expresivních. – Praha: 156.
- [41] Malmberg, B. (1963). *Struktural Linguistics and Human Communication*. – Berlin: 210.

- [42] Mirambel, A. (1959) *La langue grecque moderne*. – Paris: 473.
- [43] Ramstedt, G. (1951). Über onomatopoetische Wörter in den altaischen Sprachen. (J). *Soc Finno-ougrienne*. N 55: 106-112.
- [44] Sommer, F. (1933). *Lautnachahmung // Indogermanischen Forschungen*. – Bd. 51: 229-268.
- [45] Schwentner E. (1924). *Die primären Interjektionen in den indogermanischen Sprachen*. – Heidelberg: 68.
- [46] Spitzer, L. (1928). *Stilstudien*. – München. – Bd. 1: S. 85-100.
- [47] Wittoch, B. (1958). *Onomatopee rominești on-ng-/-no- // Melanges linguistiques offerts a Emil Petrovici Cercetări de linguistica III*. – Supliment: 548-559.
- [48] Wundt, W. (1900). *Völkerpsychologie. Die Sprache*. – Leipzig.