



— ”).

”[3, . 62].

2003 .

1993 .

6 .

[7, . 1-10]

”[5, . 187].

«

»

”[6, . 164].

[4, . 56-58].

" [1, . 170].

" [1, . 173].

( ).

XVI – XVII

1. . . . / . . . , . . .
  2. . . . : . . . , 2005.-246 .
  3. . . . , 2006. - 168 .
  4. . . . / . . . .- . . . , 1996. – 176 .
  5. . . . . [ . . . ] / . . . //
- [http://www.ido.edu.ru/ffec/philos/chrest/g12/kapu\\_m.html](http://www.ido.edu.ru/ffec/philos/chrest/g12/kapu_m.html)
6. . . . . / . . . . , . . . .
  7. A Global Ethic: The Deklaration of the Parlament of the World's Religions / [Ed. By H. Kueng and K.-J. Kuschel]. — New York: Continuum. — 1995. — 50p.
  8. Feddema R. Emancipation, modern development and action research / Rienk Feddema. - Tilburg University Press, 1998. — 157p.

#### SUMMARY

##### **Burtak S. ETHICALY VALUABLE PRINCIPLES IN POLITICAL DISCOURSE**

The article highlights the theory of modern relativism in terms of communicative rationality. Author tries to create the operational ethical principles for political discourse, which are positiveness, voluntariness, personalization and sensitiveness to the diversities.