

« » , , [18, c. 192].

[2], [10-12] [13]. [7-9], « »

- 1) ;
- 2) ( );
- 3) ;
- 4) , « », « », ,

« », « », « ». [3, c. 8].

« » [14, c. 63-64].

[21]. 40 – 50

X [19, 22].

122].

1990-

[5, c. 162].

[23, c. 154-155].

[4, c. 40].

« » [17, c.

[6, c. 11-13].

[1, c. 4-6; 15, c. 180-181; 16, c. 121-134].

( . *Urban political regimes*), 1990-1946-

1988 .».

« »

( . *Local governance*)

[23, c. 17].

1990- – 2000-

v ( )

?

189].

[26, с.

[25].

1971

«  
[19, с. 960].

«

»,  
« »

[28].

50-100

1971

[20].

1995

1)

2)

?);

3)

4)

[24].

1.

1.1.

1.2.

1.2.

2.

2.1.

2.2.

2.3.

2.4.

«

2.5.

[27, с. 295-297].

2000-



6. . . . . « »; - / : « », 2006. – 448 .
7. . . . . : / . . . . . , 2000. – 198 .  
//
8. . . . . 27. – . . . . .  
2005. – . 621 – 628.
9. . . . . : . . . . . –
10. . . . . 5–6. – : , 2007. – . 68–73.  
1970- .//
11. . . . . : , . . . . . 7-8. – : “ ”, 2008. –  
. 111-115.
12. C . . . . . 1970-80- . ( . . . . . )//  
“ ”, 2008. – . 58-62.
13. C . . . . . « »//  
“ ”, 2008. – . 91-95.
14. . . . . / . . . . . – , 2005.  
– 20 .
15. . . . . ( . . . . . ) . . . . . : “ “ ”, 1999. – 590 .
16. . . . . : // . . . . . :  
2006 / ( . . . . . ), 2007. – . 180-205.  
// / : . . . . .  
( . . . . . ) . . . . . : ( . . . . . );  
( . . . . . ), 2008. – . 121-134
17. Alexander J. The Paradoxes of Civil Society // International Sociology. – 1997. – Vol. 12. – 2. – pp. 115-133.
18. Armin J. Local Action in a Transitional State: Community Responses to Proposed Development in the Czech Republic // Social Science Quarterly. – 2003. – Volume 84. – No 1. – pp. 191-209.
19. Dahl R.A. The City in the Future of Democracy // American Political Science Review. – 1967. – Volume LXI. – Issue 4. – pp. 953-970/
20. Dahl R.A., Tuft E.R. Size and Democracy. - Stanford: University Press, 1973.
21. Dahl RA. Polyarchy, Pluralism, and Scale //Scandinavian Political Studies. – 1984. – Volume 7. – Issue 4. – pp. 225-240.
22. Dahl R.A. Democracy and Its Critics. – Yale University Press, 1989. – 397 p.
23. John P. Local Governance in Western Europe. - London-Thousand Oaks-New Delhi: SAGE Publications, 2001. – 202 p.
24. Keating M. Size, efficiency and democracy: Consolidation, fragmentation and public choice. //Theories of Urban Politics. London: Sage, 1995.
25. Pop D. Municipality Size and Citizens' Effectiveness: Hungary, Poland, and Romania // Faces of Local Democracy: Comparative Papers from Central and Eastern Europe / Local Government and Public Service Reform Initiative / Ed. G. Soós and V. Zentai. – OSI/LGI, 2005. – pp. 169-206.
26. Regulski J. Local Government Reform in Poland: An Insider's Story. – Budapest: OSI-LGPSRI, 2003. – 263 p.
27. Swianiewicz P. Size of Local Government, Local Democracy and Efficiency in Delivery of Local Services —International Context and Theoretical Framework //Consolidation or Fragmentation: The Size of Local Governments in Central and Eastern Europe. - Budapest: Local Government and Public Service Reform Initiative, Open Societies Institute, 2002. - pp.293–326.
28. Verba S., Nie N. Participation in America. - New York: Harper and Row, 1972.

## SUMMARY

### **Lendel . MODERN CONCEPTUAL APPROACHES TO THE LOCAL POLITICAL PARTICIPATION IN THE CENTRAL-EASTERN EUROPEAN SOCIETIES**

The classical concepts of the local political participation in the democratic countries are dealt with. Also the theoretical and the methodological constructions that are the most appropriate for the political activity analysis in the Central-Eastern European communities are analyzed.