O. K. MAZUR

УДК 517.9

## O. K. Mazur (National University of Food Technologies)

# OPTIMAL CONTROL IN NON-SELF-ADJOINT ELLIPTIC BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM WITH TERMINAL CRITERION

We obtain precise solution of the optimal control problem for elliptic equation with nonlocal boundary conditions in a circular sector with terminal quadratic cost functional in the class of controls that depend only on the angular variable.

В роботі одержано точний розв'язок задачі оптимального керування для еліптичного рівняння з нелокальними крайовими умовами в круговому секторі та з квадратичним термінальним критерієм якості, в класі керувань, що залежать лише від кутової змінної.

#### Introduction.

The theory of linear-quadratic optimal control problems for distributed systems is well researched [1,2] and for many cases with the help of Fourier method it can be reduced to countable number of finite-dimensional problems [3]. In this paper we consider control problem for elliptic equation with non-local boundary conditions in circular sector [4,5] with terminal quadratic cost functional. This problem does not allow total splitting and using  $L^2$ -theory. For resolving this problem in the class of controls that depend only on the angular variable we use apparatus of specially constructed biorthonormal basis systems of function [6].

### 1. Setting of the problem.

In circular sector  $Q = \{(r, \theta) | r \in (0, 1), \ \theta \in (0, \pi)\}$  we consider the optimal control problem

$$\begin{cases}
\Delta y := \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r \frac{\partial y}{\partial r}) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial \theta^2} = u(\theta), & (r, \theta) \in Q, \\
y(1, \theta) = p(\theta), & p(0) = 0, \\
y(r, 0) = 0, & r \in (0, 1), \\
\frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} (r, 0) = \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} (r, \pi), & r \in (0, 1),
\end{cases} \tag{1}$$

$$J(y,u) = ||y(\alpha)||_D^2 + ||u||_D^2 \to \inf,$$
 (2)

where  $p \in C^1([0,\pi])$  is given function,  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  is given number,  $\|\cdot\|_D$  is norm in  $L^2(0,\pi)$ , which is equivalent to standard one and is given by the equality

$$||v||_D = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n^2\right)^{1/2}$$
, where  $v_n = \int_0^{\pi} v(\theta)\psi_n(\theta)d\theta$ ,

$$\psi_0(\theta) = \frac{2}{\pi^2}, \ \psi_{2n}(\theta) = \frac{4}{\pi^2}(\pi - \theta)\sin 2n\theta, \ \psi_{2n-1}(\theta) = \frac{4}{\pi^2}\cos 2n\theta.$$

The aim of the paper is to find optimal process of the problem (1), (2) in classical sense, that is, to find optimal among admissible processes

$$\{u,y\} \in C([0,\pi]) \times \left(C(\bar{Q}) \cap C^2(Q)\right).$$

For the application of the spectral method we use biorthonormal and complete in  $L^2(0,\pi)$  well-known Samarsky-Ionkin systems of functions [6]  $\Psi = \{\psi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and

$$\Phi = \{ \varphi_0(\theta) = \theta, \ \varphi_{2n}(\theta) = \sin 2n\theta, \ \varphi_{2n-1}(\theta) = \theta \cos 2n\theta \}_{n=1}^{\infty}.$$
 (3)

Then  $\forall u \in L^2(0,\pi)$ 

$$u(\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n \cdot \varphi_n(\theta), \tag{4}$$

where  $u_n = \int_0^\pi u(\theta) \psi_n(\theta) d\theta$ . So we seek solution of the problem (1) in the form

$$y(r,\theta) = y_0(r)\theta + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (y_{2n-1}(r)\theta\cos 2n\theta + y_{2n}(r)\sin 2n\theta),$$
 (5)

where functions  $\{y_k(r)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  are solutions of the system of ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{d}{dr}(r\frac{dy_0}{dr}) = r \cdot u_0, \ y_0(1) = p_0, \tag{6}$$

$$r \cdot \frac{d}{dr} \left( r \cdot \frac{dy_{2k-1}}{dr} \right) - (2k)^2 y_{2k-1} = r^2 \cdot u_{2k-1}, \ y_{2k-1}(1) = p_{2k-1}, \tag{7}$$

$$r\frac{d}{dr}\left(r\cdot\frac{dy_{2k}}{dr}\right) - (2k)^2y_{2k} - 4k\cdot y_{2k-1} = r^2\cdot u_{2k}, \ y_{2k}(1) = p_{2k},\tag{8}$$

where  $p_k = \int_0^{\pi} p(\theta) \cdot \psi_k(\theta) d\theta$ .

Thus the original problem (1), (2) is reduced to the following one: among admissible pairs  $\{u_n(r), y_n(r)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  of the problem (6) - (8) one should minimize the cost functional

$$J(y,u) = y_0^2(\alpha) + u_0^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( y_{2k-1}^2(\alpha) + y_{2k}^2(\alpha) + u_{2k-1}^2 + u_{2k}^2 \right) = J_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} J_k, \quad (9)$$

and for obtained process  $\{\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{y}_n(r)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  one should prove that the formula (4) defines function from  $C([0, \pi])$ , and the formula (5) defines function from  $C(\bar{Q}) \cap C^2(Q)$ .

#### 2. The main result.

For fixed set  $\{u_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  after integration of (6) - (8) and using conditions at r=1 and conditions  $\lim_{r\to 0} y_n(r) = 0$  we obtain the following formula

$$y_0(r) = p_0 - \frac{u_0}{4} + \frac{r^2}{4}u_0, \tag{10}$$

$$y_1(r) = p_1 r^2 + \frac{u_1}{4} r^2 \ln r. \tag{11}$$

$$y_2(r) = p_2 r^2 + r^2 \left(\frac{u_1}{8} \ln^2 r + \left(\frac{u_2}{4} + p_1 - \frac{u_1}{16}\right) \ln r\right),$$
 (12)

and for  $k \geq 2$ :

$$y_{2k-1}(r) = \left(p_{2k-1} - \frac{u_{2k-1}}{4 - (2k)^2}\right)r^{2k} + r^2 \frac{u_{2k-1}}{4 - (2k)^2},\tag{13}$$

Наук. вісник Ужгород ун-ту, 2012, вип. 23, N 2

O. K. MAZUR

$$y_{2k}(r) = p_{2k}r^{2k} - \frac{1}{4 - (2k)^2} \left( u_{2k} + \frac{4k \cdot u_{2k-1}}{4 - (2k)^2} \right) r^{2k} + \frac{1}{4 - (2k)^2} \left( u_{2k} + \frac{4k \cdot u_{2k-1}}{4 - (2k)^2} \right) r^2 + \left( p_{2k-1} - \frac{u_{2k-1}}{4 - (2k)^2} \right) r^{2k} \ln r.$$
 (14)

Then admissible set  $\{\tilde{y}_k(r), \tilde{u}_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  minimizes (9) if and only if when  $\tilde{u}_0$  is solution of

$$J_0 \to \inf,$$
 (15)

and for  $\forall k \geq 1 \ \{\tilde{u}_{2k-1}, \tilde{u}_{2k}\}\$ is solution of the problem

$$J_k \to \inf$$
 . (16)

From formula (10) – (14) we can deduce that  $J_0$  and  $J_k$  are quadratic forms on variables  $u_0$  and  $\{u_{2k-1}, u_{2k}\}$ , and, additionally,  $J_0 \geq u_0^2$ ,  $J_k \geq u_{2k-1}^2 + u_{2k}^2$ . So the problems (15), (16) have unique solution  $\{\tilde{u}_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ , where for  $k \geq 2$ 

$$\tilde{u}_{2k-1} = \Delta_k^{-1} \left( -(a_k^2 + 1)(a_k p_{2k-1} \alpha^{2k} + d_k (a_k b_k - c_k)) + a_k^2 d_k (a_k b_k - c_k) \right),$$
(17)  

$$\tilde{u}_{2k} = \Delta_k^{-1} \left( -a_k d_k (a_k^2 + (a_k b_k - c_k)^2 + 1) + a_k (a_k b_k - c_k)(a_k p_{2k-1} \alpha^{2k} + d_k (a_k b_k - c_k)) \right),$$
(18)

where

$$\Delta_k = (1 + a_k^2)^2 + (a_k b_k - c_k)^2,$$

$$a_k = \frac{\alpha^2 - \alpha^{2k}}{4 - 4k^2}, \ b_k = \frac{4k}{4 - 4k^2}, \ c_k = \frac{\alpha^{2k} \ln \alpha}{4 - 4k^2}, \ d_k = \alpha^{2k} (p_{2k-1} \ln \alpha + p_{2k}).$$

As  $\Delta_k \sim 1$ ,  $k \to \infty$ , so for all sufficiently large  $k \ge 1$  we have

$$|\tilde{u}_{2k-1}| + |\tilde{u}_{2k}| \le \alpha^{2k-1} k^{-1} (|p_{2k-1}| + |p_{2k}|).$$
 (19)

Functions  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  from (3) are bounded,  $|\varphi'_k(\theta)| \leq M \cdot k$ , so formula

$$\tilde{u}(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{u}_k \cdot \varphi_k(\theta) \tag{20}$$

defines function from the class  $C^1([0,\pi])$ .

The following theorem guarantees, that the formula (20) defines optimal control of our problem in classical sense and, moreover, the class of admissible controls includes smooth on  $[0, \pi]$  functions.

**Theorem 1.** For every  $u \in C^1([0,\pi])$ , u(0) = 0 the formula (5) with coefficients  $\{y_k(r)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  from (10) – (14) defines classical solution of the problem (1).

**Proof** Let us prove that the formula (5) defines function  $y(r, \theta)$ , for which

$$y \in C([0,1] \times [0,\pi]), \ y \in C^2([0,1] \times [0,\pi]).$$
 (21)

Let us denote

$$F_1(r,\theta) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (p_{2k-1} \cdot r^{2k} \cdot \theta \cos 2k\theta + p_{2k} \cdot r^{2k} \sin 2k\theta + p_{2k-1} \cdot r^{2k} \ln r \sin 2k\theta).$$

Then  $F_1$  satisfies condition (21). Indeed, functions  $r^{2k} \cdot \sin 2k\theta$  and  $r^{2k}(\theta \cos 2k\theta +$  $\ln r \sin 2k\theta$ ) are harmonic, so for (21) it is sufficient to prove the uniform convergence of series  $F_1$  on  $[0,1] \times [0,\pi]$ , which follows from [5]. For remainder of the series  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{u_{2k-1}}{4-(2k)^2} \cdot r^{2k} \cdot \theta \cos 2k\theta \text{ due to Bessel inequality } \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} u_k^2 < \infty \text{ and Cauchy-Schwarz }$ inequality we have

$$\left| \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{u_{2k-1}}{4 - (2k)^2} r^{2k} \cdot \theta \cos 2k\theta \right| \le$$

$$\le \pi \left( \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} u_{2k-1}^2 \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left( \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{((2k)^2 - 4)^2} \right)^{1/2} < \varepsilon, \tag{22}$$

beginning from some  $N \geq 1$  uniformly on  $[0,1] \times [0,\pi]$ . Moreover, because of the multiplier  $\frac{r^{2k}}{4-(2k)^2}$  the partial derivatives of this series on r and  $\theta$  up to second order are uniformly convergent series on every compact in  $(0,1) \times (0,\pi).$ 

Let us conduct a similar argument for the series  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4 - (2k)^2} \left( u_{2k} + \frac{4k \cdot u_{2k-1}}{4 - (2k)^2} \right)$  $r^{2k}\sin 2k\theta$ .

For remainder of the series  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{u_{2k-1}}{4-(2k)^2} \cdot r^{2k} \cdot \ln r \sin 2k\theta$  we have:

$$\left| \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{u_{2k-1}}{4 - (2k)^2} \cdot r^{2k} \cdot \ln r \sin 2k\theta \right| \le \left( \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{u_{2k-1}^2}{(4 - (2k)^2)^2} \right)^{1/2} \times \left( \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} r^{4k} \cdot \ln^2 r \right)^{1/2} < \left( \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} u_{2k-1}^2 \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left( \frac{r^{4N} \cdot \ln^2 r}{1 - r^4} \right)^{1/2}$$

for every  $\theta \in [0, \pi], r \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists N \ge 1$ 

$$\sup_{r \in [0,1], \theta \in [0,\pi]} \left| \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{u_{2k-1}}{4 - (2k)^2} \cdot r^{2k} \cdot \ln r \sin 2k\theta \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Let us consider the series  $F_2(r,\theta) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{u_{2k-1}}{4-(2k)^2} \cdot r^k \cdot \theta \cos 2k\theta$ . It is uniformly convergent on  $[0,1] \times [0,\pi]$  due to Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

In the same way one can prove convergence of the series  $\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial r}$ ,  $\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \theta}$ ,  $\frac{\partial^2 F_2}{\partial r^2}$ ,  $\frac{\partial^2 F_2}{\partial r \partial \theta}$ Convergence of the series  $\frac{\partial^2 F_2}{\partial \theta^2}$  will follow from convergence of the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{u_{2k-1}}{4-(2k)^2}$  $(2k)^2 \cdot r^2 \cdot \theta \cos 2k\theta$ , which is convergent with the series

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} u_{2k-1} \cdot r^2 \cdot \theta \cos 2k\theta. \tag{23}$$

For  $u \in C^1([0,\pi])$  we obtain

$$u_{2k-1} = \int_{0}^{\pi} u(\theta) \cdot \frac{4}{\pi^2} \cos 2k\theta d\theta = -\frac{2}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{1}{k} \int_{0}^{\pi} u'(\theta) \sin 2k\theta d\theta = -\frac{2}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{1}{k} v_{2k}.$$

Наук. вісник Ужгород ун-ту, 2012, вип. 23, N 2

O. K. MAZUR

As for  $v=u'\in C([0,\pi])$   $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}v_n^2<\infty$ ,  $v_n=\int\limits_0^{\pi}v(\theta)\varphi_n(\theta)d\theta$ , then  $\sum\limits_{k=2}^{\infty}v_{2k}^2<\infty$  and from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality the series (23) converges uniformly on  $[0,1]\times[0,\pi]$ . Applying the previous discussion to the series

$$F_3(r,\theta) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4 - (2k)^2} \left( u_{2k} + \frac{4k \cdot u_{2k-1}}{4 - (2k)^2} \right) \cdot r^2 \sin 2k\theta,$$

we need to prove the convergence of the series

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} u_{2k} \cdot r^2 \cdot \sin 2k\theta. \tag{24}$$

For  $u \in C^1([0, \pi])$ , u(0) = 0 we have:

$$u_{2k} = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \int_0^{\pi} u(\theta)(\pi - \theta) \sin 2k\theta d\theta = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{1}{k} \int_0^{\pi} u'(\theta)(\pi - \theta) \cos 2k\theta d\theta -$$

$$-\frac{2}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{1}{k} \int_0^{\pi} u(\theta) \cos 2k\theta d\theta = \frac{2}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{k} \int_0^{\pi} u'(\theta) \cos 2k\theta d\theta -$$

$$-\frac{2}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{1}{k} \int_0^{\pi} u'(\theta) \theta \cos 2k\theta d\theta - \frac{2}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{1}{k} \int_0^{\pi} u(\theta) \cos 2k\theta d\theta = \frac{1}{k} (\alpha_k + \beta_k + \gamma_k),$$

where  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\alpha_k^2 + \beta_k^2 + \gamma_k^2) < \infty$ , as  $u' \in C([0, \pi])$ . Then from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality the series (24) converges uniformly on  $[0, 1] \times [0, \pi]$ . Theorem is proved.

- 1. Lions J.-L. Optimal problem in PDE systems. M.: Mir, 1972. 414 p.
- 2. Egorov A.I. Optimal control in heat and diffusion processes. M.: Nauka, 1978. 463 p.
- 3. Kapustyan V.E., Belozerov V.E. Geometrical methods of modal control. K.: Naukova Dumka, 1999. 259 p.
- 4. Kapustyan V.E., Lazarenko I.S. Optimal stabilization by distributed control of solutions of parabolic equations with non-local boundary-value conditions // Computer Math. 2010. N = 2. P. 149 155.
- 5. Moiseev E.I., Ambarzumyan V.E. About resolvability of non-local boundary-value problem with equality of fluxes // Differential equations.—2010. vol. 46, № 5. P. 718 725.
- 6. *Ionkin N.I.* Solution of boundary-value problem from heat theory with non-classical boundary conditions // Differential equations. 1977. vol. 13, № 2. P. 294 304.

Одержано 08.10.2012