Calculations for electron-impact ionization of magnesium and calcium atoms in the method of interacting configurations in the complex number representation

23.06.2017

V.M. Simulik^{1*}, Y-N.Y. Tsmur², R.V. Tymchyk¹, T.M. Zajac²

¹ Institute of Electron Physics, National Academy of Sciences, Uzhgorod, 88000, Ukraine

²Department of Electronic Systems, Uzhgorod National University, Uzhgorod, 88000, Ukraine

*Corresponding Author: vsimulik@gmail.com

Abstract Next investigations in our program of transition from the He atom to the complex atoms description have been presented. The method of interacting configurations in the complex number representation is under consideration. The spectroscopic characteristics of the Mg and Ca atoms in the problem of the electron-impact ionization of these atoms are investigated. The energies and the widths of the lowest ${}^{1}S$, ${}^{1}P$, ${}^{1}D$, and ${}^{1}F$ autoionizing states of Mg atom, and the lowest ${}^{1}P$ autoionizing states of Ca atom, are calculated. Few results in the photoionization problem on the ${}^{1}P$ autoionizing states above the n=2 threshold of helium-like Be⁺⁺ ion are presented.

Keywords electron-impact ionization of atom, autoionizing states, quasistationary states, interacting configurations method

1 Introduction

We presented here next step in our last years program to apply the method of interacting configurations in the complex number representation (the ICCNR method) to the complex atoms description. The previous step was considered in [1, 2], where this method on the example of beryllium atom has been demonstrated.

The ICCNR method was suggested in papers [3-5] and successfully applied to the description of the quasistationary states of helium formed at its electron ionization in the energy interval above the threshold of excited ion formation. At the modern stage in the development of this method, a principal possibility is its application to the calculation of ionization processes in more complicated atomic structures.

Our step by step transition from the He atom description to the complex atoms consideration has been realized via the problem of ionization of H^- , Li^+ ions [6, 7] up to the enough complex atoms (such as Be, Mg and Ca) investigations. The results were reported at the international conferences [6, 8-11] as some approbation of the method and found data. The complete description of the method formalism was given in [12], see, e. g., [13] as well. In [14] the choice of the ground state wave function for such precision calculations of the quasistationary states parameters has been considered and discussed. One of the goals of these investigations is to demonstrate that the ICCNR method can be useful for the complex atoms study on the level of popular Rmatrix approach, see, e. g., [15]. The comparison with theoretical calculations in other methods has been considered as well.

Thus, the ICCNR method is applied here to the calculation of spectroscopic characteristics of autoionizing states (AIS) of Mg and Ca atoms in the problem of the electron-impact ionization of these atoms. In particular, the energies and the widths of the lowest $({}^{1}S, {}^{1}P, {}^{1}D,$ and ${}^{1}F$) AIS of Mg atom, and the lowest ${}^{1}P$ AIS of Ca atom, are calculated. Few results in the photoionization problem on the ${}^{1}P$ AIS above the n=2 threshold of helium-like Be⁺⁺ ion are presented as well. The first three ${}^{1}P$ resonances above the n=2 threshold are considered. Found results are compared with [16, 17].

The exact quantum mechanical methods are welcome here because the experimental investigations of some atoms (e. g., beryllium atom) are complicated due to its chemical properties.

Some known results for Mg atom [18–20] are compared with our calculations in ICCNR method. Furthermore, our results (found in ICCNR method) for Ca atom are compered with experimental and theoretical investigations [21-23].

Note that the analysis of the loss spectrum of ejected electrons made it possible to compare indirectly the obtained results with the results of studies of the scattering problem.

As one can see in the literature, beryllium [16, 17, 19, 24–44], magnesium [18–20, 24, 25, 45–58] and calcium [21–23, 59, 60] atoms turns out to be the promising objects for researches.

2 Some backgrounds of the method

The ICCNR method is a well-defined quantummechanical method for the calculation of parameters of atomic systems. This method is a development and a generalization of the known method of interacting configurations in the real number representation. It has some advantages in comparison with the standard method of interacting configurations in the real number representation and other calculation methods for the energies and widths of quasistationary atomic states. First, this is a capability of finding not only the energies, but also the widths of quasistationary states. Second, there are new possibilities for the resonance identification. The ICCNR method makes it possible, on the basis of the results of calculations, to estimate the contribution of each resonance state to the cross-section of the process and, if the resonance approximation is applicable, to introduce a set of parameters that determine the energies and the widths of quasistationary states, as well as the contours of resonance lines in the ionization cross-sections. In the concrete problems this approach also enables to investigate the applicability of approximate methods of cross-section estimation and to determine the limits of their validity. Those advantages make it possible to apply successfully the ICCNR method not only to scattering processes, but also to much more complicated processes such as ionization of atoms by electrons.

Consider the equation of the examined reaction

$$A(n_0 L_0 S_0) + e^{-}(\vec{k}_0) \to A^+(nl_1) + e^{-}(\vec{k}_1) + e^{-}(\vec{k}_1),$$
(1)

where $\overrightarrow{k}_0, \overrightarrow{k}_1, \overrightarrow{k}$ are the momenta of the incident, ejected, and scattered electrons, respectively. Then the generalized oscillator strength of the transition for the incident electron in the Born approximation is given by

$$\frac{df_{nl_1}}{dE}(Q) = \frac{E}{Q^2} \sum_{lL} |\langle nL_1 El| \sum_{j=1}^n \exp(i\overrightarrow{Q} \overrightarrow{r_i}) |n_0 L_0 S_0\rangle|^2.$$
(2)

In this formula $E = k_0^2 - k^2$ is the energy loss, $\vec{Q} = \vec{k_0} - \vec{k}$ is the transmitted momentum, and $|nl_1El:LS_0\rangle$ is the wave function of an atom with total momentum L and spin S_0 provided that an electron with momentum l and energy E is in the field of ion A^+ , whose electron has the quantum numbers $|nl_1\rangle$. The function of the atomic ground state is given by $|n_0L_0S_0\rangle$.

Note that process (1) is a much more complicated physical phenomenon in comparison with the electron scattering by an atom. Exact theoretical calculations of such processes constitute a problem for modern theoretical physics. Therefore, the consideration of this problem for multielectron atoms in the framework of the ICCNR method is an important and challenging scientific step.

More details of the ICCNR method formalism can be found in [12].

3 The results of calculations

Here the electron-impact ionizations of the Mg, Ca atoms in the interval of AIS excitation are considered. The corresponding results [1, 2] for beryllium atom are discussed briefly as well. Furthermore, some results for helium-like Be⁺⁺ ion are given.

3.1 Energies and widths of helium-like Be⁺⁺ ion below the n=3 threshold autoionizing states of Be atom

In articles [1, 2] energies and widths of the lowest AIS $({}^{1}S, {}^{1}P, {}^{1}D, \text{ and } {}^{1}F)$ of a beryllium atom has been presented. These resonances were obtained in the ICCNR approximation in the problem of the electron-impact ionization of an atom. The indirect comparison with results of corresponding scattering problem has been fulfilled. Furthermore, the energies of ${}^{1}P$ states, which are located between the first and second ionization thresholds of a beryllium atom, are found and compared with the results of calculations obtained by other authors (see, e. g., [5–10] in [2]). In calculations, the Coulomb wave functions were used as basis configurations. For every term, up to 25 basis configurations were taken into account.

Here we are able to add energies and the widths in the photoionization problem of the ${}^{1}P$ AIS below the n=3 threshold of helium-like Be⁺⁺ ion. The first three ${}^{1}P$ resonances above the n=2 threshold are presented. The results are compared with theoretical calculations of [16, 17].

Table 1. Comparison of the energies and the widths obtained with the use of the ICCNR method for the AIS below the n=3 threshold of a helium-like Be⁺⁺ ion with the theoretical results of other authors (the first three ¹P resonances above the n=2 threshold are under consideration)

E, eV	Γ , eV	E, eV [16]	Γ , eV [16]	E, eV [17]	Γ , eV [17]
329.18	0.318	329.50	0.324	329.55	0.412
333.24	0.0081	333.35	0.086	333.69	0.088
337.47	0.0019	-	-	337.66	0.0023

3.2 Electron-impact ionization of a Mg atom in the interval of the excitation of autoionizing states

The investigation of the ionization of Mg atoms (and Mg⁺ ions) by photons and electrons is a challenging problem. This assertion is proved by both experimental and theoretical papers of many authors (see, e.g., publications [24, 25, 45–58] cited here and papers [18–20], which are considered here directly). In brief publications

[9, 10], we started to study the electron-impact ionization of a Mg atom in the AIS excitation interval with the use of the ICCNR method. In Table 2, the results of our calculations for the energies and the widths of the lowest AIS (${}^{1}S$, ${}^{1}P$, ${}^{1}D$, and ${}^{1}F$) of a Mg atom (obtained in the electron-impact ionization problem in the ICCNR approximation) are presented.

First, our results are compared with similar states that are formed in the problem of electron scattering by Mg⁺ ions [19] (see Table 2). Since another problem has been considered in article [19] – namely, the scattering one – such a comparison is indirect. In paper [19] the calculations were carried out in the diagonalization approximation. Second, in the framework of the problem of the electron-impact ionization of atoms, the energies of ${}^{1}P$ -states must coincide with those obtained in the problem of photoionization of a Mg atom. Therefore, a direct comparison of our results with experimental ones [18] and with the results of calculations on the basis of the R-matrix method [20] can be made. In Table 3, the energy positions and the widths calculated for the ${}^{1}P$ AIS of a magnesium atom with the use of the ICCNR method are directly compared with the experimental data of paper [18] and the theoretical data obtained with the help of the R-matrix formalism [20], as well as with the problem of electron scattering by a Mg^+ ion [19].

Thus, the original scientific results obtained with the help of the ICCNR method [3-5] for the energies and the widths of the lowest AIS $({}^{1}S, {}^{1}P, {}^{1}D, \text{ and } {}^{1}F)$ of a Mg atom in the problem of electron-impact ionization of this atom are presented (see Table 2). Their novelty consists in the application of the exact calculation method, namely, the method of interacting configurations and, moreover, the ICCNR method. The comparison with the calculations of corresponding energies and widths of AIS carried out in the diagonalization approximation in the problem of electron scattering by Mg⁺ ions (Table 2) is indirect (a different object in a different problem), but really testifies to the reliability of the results obtained. Some of the results obtained here, namely, the energy positions of the ${}^{1}P$ AIS of a Mg atom, can be directly compared with the experiment and the R-matrix calculations (see Table 3). The results of calculations carried out with the use of the ICCNR method are in good agreement with the corresponding calculations using the R-matrix method [20] and experimental results [18] (see Table 3).

3.3 Electron-impact ionization of a Ca atom in the interval of the excitation of autoionizing states

The application of ICCNR method to calculate the lowest AIS of calcium atom was started in paper [11]. The energies and the widths of the lowest ¹P-states were calculated. The results were compared with the data obtained by other authors. In Table 4, besides the results of our calculations, the experimental data [21] and the results of theoretical calculations [22, 23] are shown. Analysis of the presented data testifies that the classification of AIS proposed in work [22] is possible. Thus, the results of our calculations agree well with the theoretical calculations.

Table 2. Energies and widths of the lowest AIS $({}^{1}S, {}^{1}P, {}^{1}D)$, and ${}^{1}F$) of a Mg atom obtained in the ICCNR approximation in the problem of electron-impact ionization of an atom. In paper [19], the energies of autoionizing states were calculated in the diagonalization approximation in the framework of the problem of electron scattering by a Mg⁺ ion

^{1}S	E. eV	$\Gamma \text{ eV}$	E. eV [19]	$\Gamma \text{ eV} [19]$
$4s^2$	13.08	0.0987	13.06	0.1010
$3d^2$	14.61	0.0480	14.66	0.0502
4s5s	14.92	0.0425	14.97	0.0473
4s6s	15.48	0.0196	15.53	0.0185
3d4d	15.59	0.0140	15.64	0.0129
4s7s	15.78	0.0115	15.80	0.0107
4s8s	15.80	0.0069	-	-
^{1}P	E, eV	$\Gamma \text{ eV}$	E, eV [19]	$\Gamma \text{ eV} [19]$
4s4p	14.15	0.157	14.18	0.143
3d4p	15.01	0.172	14.95	0.162
4s5p	15.34	0.0324	15.29	0.0301
4s6p	15.68	0.0682	15.64	0.0667
3d4f	15.77	0.0481	15.74	0.0448
4s7p	15.85	0.0059	15.86	0.0048
3s8p	19.95	0.0140	19.93	0.0143
^{1}D	E, eV	$\Gamma \text{ eV}$	E, eV [19]	$\Gamma \text{ eV} [19]$
3d4s	13.62	0.262	13.66	0.272
$3d^2$	14.31	0.253	14.38	0.269
4d4s	14.89	0.0192	14.96	0.0189
3d5s	15.28	0.0869	15.30	0.0951
$4p^2$	15.47	0.0570	15.49	0.0578
3d4d	15.58	0.0865	15.55	0.0876
4s5d	15.69	0.0258	15.66	0.0248
^{1}F	E, eV	$\Gamma \text{ eV}$	E, eV [19]	$\Gamma \text{ eV} [19]$
3d4p	14.15	0.0225	14.66	0.0230
4s4f	15.01	0.0110	15.28	0.0113
3d5p	15.34	0.0540	15.53	0.0589
3d4f	15.53	0.0052	15.63	0.0053
4s5f	15.68	0.0201	15.71	0.0205
3d6p	15.77	0.0104	15.88	$0.0\overline{109}$
4s6f	15.85	$0.012\overline{5}$	15.90	0.0131

retical data obtained by other authors.

4 Conclusions

The method of interacting configurations in the complex number representation, which was applied earlier to the description of quasistationary states of a helium atom [3–5], is under consideration. The calculation of the ionization processes for more complicated atomic systems is suggested. The spectroscopic characteristics of the lowest AIS of Mg, Ca atoms were studied in the problem of the electron-impact ionization of these atoms (some results for helium-like Be⁺⁺ ion are presented as well). The energies and the widths of the lowest AIS $(^{1}S, ^{1}P, ^{1}D, ^{1}F)$ of Mg atom, and the lowest (^{1}P) AIS of Ca atom, were calculated. The found results were compared with known experimental data and calculations on the basis of other methods. Hence, we may draw conclusion about a successful verification of the

Table 3. Comparison of the energies and the widths of the AIS of a magnesium atom found with the use of the ICCNR method with the experiment [18] and calculations for ${}^{1}P$ -states [20] (paper [20]: the photoionization problem and the photoionization threshold; article [19]: the scattering problem)

^{1}P	E, eV	$\Gamma \ eV$	E, eV [19]	$\Gamma \ eV \ [19]$	
4s4p	14.15	0.157	14.18	0.143	
3d4p	15.01	0.172	14.95	0.162	
4s5p	15.34	0.0324	15.29	0.0301	
3d5p	15.53	0.0775	15.56	0.0758	
4s6p	15.68	0.00682	15.64	0.00667	
3d4f	15.77	0.0481	15.74	0.0448	
4s7p	15.85	0.00592	15.86	0.00476	
4s8p	15.90	0.0087	-	-	
3d6p	15.93	0.0295	-	-	
4s9p	15.95	0.0011	-	-	
^{1}P	E, eV	$\Gamma \ eV$	E, eV [20]	$\Gamma \text{ eV} [20]$	E, eV [13
1P 4s4p	E, eV 14.15	$\frac{\Gamma \text{ eV}}{0.157}$	E, eV [20] 14.2213	$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \ \mathrm{eV} \ [20] \\ 0.3921 \end{array}$	E, eV [12 14.18
$ \begin{array}{r} {}^{1}P\\ 4s4p\\ 3d4p \end{array} $	E, eV 14.15 15.01	$\frac{\Gamma \text{ eV}}{0.157}$ 0.172	E, eV [20] 14.2213 14.9048	$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma {\rm eV} [20] \\ 0.3921 \\ 0.6078 \end{array}$	E, eV [18 14.18
$ \begin{array}{r} {}^1P\\ 4s4p\\ 3d4p\\ 4s5p \end{array} $	E, eV 14.15 15.01 15.34	$ \Gamma eV 0.157 0.172 0.0324 $	E, eV [20] 14.2213 14.9048 15.3133	$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma {\rm eV} [20] \\ 0.3921 \\ 0.6078 \\ 0.0931 \end{array}$	E, eV [18 14.18 -
$ \begin{array}{r} {}^1P\\ 4s4p\\ 3d4p\\ 4s5p\\ 3d5p\\ \end{array} $	E, eV 14.15 15.01 15.34 15.53	$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \ {\rm eV} \\ 0.157 \\ 0.172 \\ 0.0324 \\ 0.0775 \end{array}$	E, eV [20] 14.2213 14.9048 15.3133 15.7264	$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \ {\rm eV} \ [20] \\ 0.3921 \\ 0.6078 \\ 0.0931 \\ 0.0890 \end{array}$	E, eV [18 14.18 - - 15.24
$ \begin{array}{r} {}^{1}P \\ 4s4p \\ 3d4p \\ 4s5p \\ 3d5p \\ 4s6p \end{array} $	E, eV 14.15 15.01 15.34 15.53 15.68	$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \ {\rm eV} \\ 0.157 \\ 0.172 \\ 0.0324 \\ 0.0775 \\ 0.00682 \end{array}$	E, eV [20] 14.2213 14.9048 15.3133 15.7264 15.6653	$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \mathrm{eV} [20] \\ 0.3921 \\ 0.6078 \\ 0.0931 \\ 0.0890 \\ 0.0142 \end{array}$	E, eV [12 14.18 - - 15.24 15.61
$ \begin{array}{r} {}^{1}P \\ 4s4p \\ 3d4p \\ 4s5p \\ 3d5p \\ 4s6p \\ 3d4f \\ \end{array} $	E, eV 14.15 15.01 15.34 15.53 15.68 15.77	Γ eV 0.157 0.172 0.0324 0.0775 0.00682 0.0481	E, eV [20] 14.2213 14.9048 15.3133 15.7264 15.6653	$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \mathrm{eV} [20] \\ 0.3921 \\ 0.6078 \\ 0.0931 \\ 0.0890 \\ 0.0142 \end{array}$	E, eV [1: 14.18 - - 15.24 15.61 -
$ \begin{array}{r} {}^{1}P \\ 4s4p \\ 3d4p \\ 4s5p \\ 3d5p \\ 4s6p \\ 3d4f \\ 4s7p \end{array} $	E, eV 14.15 15.01 15.34 15.53 15.68 15.77 15.85	Γ eV 0.157 0.0324 0.0775 0.00682 0.0481 0.00592	E, eV [20] 14.2213 14.9048 15.3133 15.7264 15.6653 - 15.8675	$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \ eV \ [20] \\ 0.3921 \\ 0.6078 \\ 0.0931 \\ 0.0890 \\ 0.0142 \\ \hline \\ 0.0095 \end{array}$	E, eV [1: 14.18 - 15.24 15.61 - 15.83
$ \begin{array}{r} {}^{1}P \\ 4s4p \\ 3d4p \\ 4s5p \\ 3d5p \\ 4s6p \\ 3d4f \\ 4s7p \\ 4s8p \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm E,\ eV} \\ 14.15 \\ 15.01 \\ 15.34 \\ 15.53 \\ 15.68 \\ 15.77 \\ 15.85 \\ 15.90 \end{array}$	Γ eV 0.157 0.0324 0.0775 0.00682 0.0481 0.00592 0.0087	E, eV [20] 14.2213 14.9048 15.3133 15.7264 15.6653 - 15.8675 15.9802	$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \ eV \ [20] \\ \hline 0.3921 \\ \hline 0.6078 \\ \hline 0.0931 \\ \hline 0.0890 \\ \hline 0.0142 \\ \hline - \\ \hline 0.0095 \\ \hline 0.0111 \end{array}$	E, eV [1: 14.18 - 15.24 15.61 - 15.83 15.98
$\begin{array}{c} {}^{1}P\\ 4s4p\\ 3d4p\\ 4s5p\\ 3d5p\\ 4s6p\\ 3d4f\\ 4s7p\\ 4s8p\\ 3d6p\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm E,\ eV} \\ 14.15 \\ 15.01 \\ 15.34 \\ 15.53 \\ 15.68 \\ 15.77 \\ 15.85 \\ 15.90 \\ 15.93 \end{array}$	Γ eV 0.157 0.0324 0.0775 0.00682 0.0481 0.00592 0.0087 0.0295	E, eV [20] 14.2213 14.9048 15.3133 15.7264 15.6653 - 15.8675 15.9802 16.007	$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \ eV \ [20] \\ 0.3921 \\ 0.6078 \\ 0.0931 \\ 0.0890 \\ 0.0142 \\ \hline \\ 0.0095 \\ 0.0111 \\ 0.0417 \end{array}$	E, eV [14] 14.18 - 15.24 15.61 - 15.83 15.98 -
$\begin{array}{c} {}^{1}P\\ {}^{4s4p}\\ {}^{3d4p}\\ {}^{4s5p}\\ {}^{3d5p}\\ {}^{4s6p}\\ {}^{3d4f}\\ {}^{4s7p}\\ {}^{4s8p}\\ {}^{3d6p}\\ {}^{4s9p}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm E,\ eV} \\ 14.15 \\ 15.01 \\ 15.34 \\ 15.53 \\ 15.68 \\ 15.77 \\ 15.85 \\ 15.90 \\ 15.93 \\ 15.95 \end{array}$	Γ eV 0.157 0.172 0.0324 0.0775 0.00682 0.0481 0.00592 0.0087 0.0295 0.0011	E, eV [20] 14.2213 14.9048 15.3133 15.7264 15.6653 - 15.8675 15.9802 16.007 16.065	$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \ {\rm eV} \ [20] \\ 0.3921 \\ 0.6078 \\ 0.0931 \\ 0.0890 \\ 0.0142 \\ - \\ 0.0095 \\ 0.0111 \\ 0.0417 \\ 0.0019 \end{array}$	E, eV [14] 14.18 - 15.24 15.61 - 15.83 15.98 - 16.06

Table 4. Comparison of the energies and the widths obtained with the use of the ICCNR method for the AIS of a Ca atom with the theoretical results of other authors and the experiment [21]

^{1}P	E, eV	E, eV [21]	E, eV [22]	E, eV [23]
3d5p	6.601	6.59	6.604	6.633
3d6p	7.033	7.02	7.038	7.080
3d7p	7.397	7.39	7.342	7.415
3d8p	7.465	7.47	7.471	7.502
3d9p	7.551	-	7.556	7.575
3d10p	7.610	-	7.614	7.624
4p5s	7.159	7.13	7.166	7.300
3d4f	6.937	-	6.938	6.960
3d5f	7.240	7.25	7.248	7.260
3d6f	7.425	-	7.427	7.427
3d7f	7.523	-	7.529	7.527
3d8f	7.591	-	7.596	7.593
^{1}P	$\Gamma \text{ eV}$	$\Gamma \text{ eV} [21]$	$\Gamma \text{ eV} [22]$	$\Gamma \text{ eV} [23]$
3d5p	0.0801	0.21	0.0702	0.0846
3d6p	0.0059	0.17	0.0056	0.0067
3d7p	0.0451	-	0.0509	0.0399
3d8p	0.0261	0.14	0.0232	0.0315
3d9p	0.0163	-	0.0141	0.0282
3d10p	0.0140	-	0.0101	0.0207
4p5s	0.0129	0.15	0.0139	0.0132
3d4f	0.00006	-	0.000004	0.00001
3d5f	0.0059	-	0.0028	0.00003
3d6f	0.0019	0.17	0.0014	0.0024
$\overline{3d7f}$	0.0009	-	0.0011	0.00007
3d8f	0.00007	-	0.00008	0.00006

ICCNR method for the calculation of AIS of complex atoms and the processes of electron-impact ionization and excitation of such atoms.

References

- V.M. Simulik, T.M. Zajac, R.V. Tymchyk. Calculations for electron-impact ionization of beryllium in the method of interacting configurations in the complex number representations, arXiv: 1608.04078v1 [physics, atom-ph] 14 Aug. 2016. 5 p.
- [2] T.M. Zajac, V.M. Simulik, R.V. Tymchyk. The beryllium atom lowest autoionizing states in the method of interacting configurations in the complex number representations, Int. J. Theor. Math. Phys., Vol.6, No.4, 111–116 (2016).
- [3] S.M. Burkov, N.A. Letyaev, S.I. Strakhova, T.M. Zajac. Photon and electron ionization of helium to the n=2 state of He⁺, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys., Vol.21, No.7, 1195–1208 (1988).
- [4] S.M. Burkov, T.M. Zajac, S.I. Strakhova. Ionization of helium by fast electrons in the region over the threshold of exiting ions formation, Opt. Spektrosk., Vol.63, No.3, 17–25 (1988).
- [5] S.M. Burkov, S.I. Strakhova, T.M. Zajac. Total and partial generalized oscillator strengths for transitions to the continuum of helium, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys., Vol.23, No.20, 3677–3690 (1990).

- [6] T.M. Zajac, A.I. Opachko, V.M. Simulik. Photoionization of Li⁺ ion above the excited ion formation threshold. Book of Abstracts of 4-th CEPAS Conference, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 18-20 June, 2008, p. 139.
- [7] T.M. Zajac, A.I. Opachko, V.M. Simulik. Application of the method of interacting configurations in complex number representation to the problem of the ionization of ions, Uzhgorod Univ. Sci. Herald, Ser. Phys., Vol.22, No.1, 82–86 (2008) (in Ukrainian).
- [8] A.I. Opachko, V.M. Simulik, R.V. Tymchyk, T.M. Zajac. Lowest autoionizing states of beryllium in photoionization problem. Book of abstracts of 43-th EGAS Conference, University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland, 28 June–2 July, 2011, p. 203.
- [9] T.M. Zajac, A.I. Opachko, V.M. Simulik, R.V. Tymchyk. Ionization of Mg atom by electron-impact in the region of autoionizing states excitation. Book of abstracts of 41-th EGAS Conference, University of Gdansk, Gdansk, Poland, 8–10 July, 2009, p. 156.
- [10] R.V. Tymchyk, T.M. Zajac, V.M. Simulik, A.I. Opachko. Calculation of energetic positions of the lowest autoionizing states of Mg atom. Abstracts of 10-th European Conference on Atoms Molecules and Photons, Salamanca, Spain, 4–10 July, 2010, Electron collisions, P-055.

- [11] V.M. Simulik, R.V. Tymchyk, T.M. Zajac. Autoionizing states of Ca in the problem of ionization of calcium atom by the electrons. Book of abstracts of 44-th EGAS Conference, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden, 9–13 July, 2012, p. 197.
- [12] T.M. Zajac, V.M. Simulik. The method of interacting configurations in complex number representation. Application for calculations of spectroscopic characteristics of quasi-stationary states in two electron systems, Int. J. Pure. Appl. Phys., Vol.3, No.3, 243–260 (2007).
- [13] V.M. Simulik, T.M. Zajac, R.V. Tymchyk. Application of the method of interacting configurations in the complex number representation to calculating the spectroscopic characteristics of the autoionizing states of Be, Mg and Ca atoms, Ukr. J. Phys., Vol.60, No.11, 1094– 1100 (2015).
- [14] V.M. Simulik, T.M. Zajac, R.V. Tymchyk. Choice of the wave function for the helium ground state for precision calculations of quasistationary state parameters, Ukr. J. Phys., Vol.61, No.11, 950–955 (2016).
- [15] O. Zatsarinny, K. Bartschat, D.V. Fursa. Calculations for electron-impact excitation and ionization of beryllium, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys., Vol.49, No.23, 235701(1–9) (2016).
- [16] Y.K. Ho. Autoionization states of helium isoelectric sequence below the n=3 hydrogenic thresholds, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys., Vol.12, No.3, 387–400 (1979).
- [17] A. Wague. Application of the diagonalization approximation to the n=3 resonant photoionization of heliumlike systems, Z. Phys D. At. Mol. Clust., Vol.6, No.4, 337–344 (1987).
- [18] M.A. Baig, J.P. Connerade. Extensions to the Spectrum of Doubly Excited MgI in the Vacuum Ultraviolet, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A., Vol.364, December 20, 353–366 (1978).
- [19] V.L. Lengyel, V.T. Navrotsky, E.P. Sabad. Resonant scattering of low-energy electrons by Be⁺ and Mg⁺ ions, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys., Vol.23, No.16, 2847–2867 (1990).
- [20] D.S. Kim, S.S. Tayal. Autoionizing resonances in the photoionization of ground state atomic magnesium, J. Phys. B: Atom. Mol. Opt. Phys., Vol.33, No.17, 3235–3247 (2000).
- [21] J.E. Kontrosh, I.V. Chernyshova, O.B. Shpenik. Near-threshold electron-impact ionization of calcium atom, Opt.Spectrosc., Vol.110, No.4, 500–507 (2011).
- [22] O.I. Zatsarinny, V.I. Lengyel, E.A. Masalovich. Resonance structure in the electron-impact excitation of Ca⁺ below the 5s threshold, Phys. Rev. A., Vol.44, No.11, 7343–7354 (1991).

- [23] P. Scott, A.E. Kingston, A. Hibbert. Photoionization of neutral calcium, J. Phys. B: Atom. Mol. Phys., Vol.16, No.21, 3945–3959 (1983).
- [24] G. Mehlman-Ballofet, J.M. Esteva. Far ultraviolet absorption spectra with auto-ionized levels of beryllium and magnesium, Astrophys. J., Vol.157, No.2, 945–956 (1969).
- [25] J.M. Esteva, G. Mehlman-Ballofet, J. Romand. Spectres d'absorption dans l'ultraviolet lointain de Be, B, C, N, Mg, Al et Si, Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer, Vol.12, No.9, 1291–1303 (1972).
- [26] P.L. Altic. Photo-ionization cross section of beryllium near threshold, Phys. Rev., Vol.169, No.1, 21–26 (1968).
- [27] H.C. Chi, K.N. Huang, K.T. Cheng. Autoionizing levels of beryllium from the multiconfiguration relativistic random-phase approximation, Phys. Rev. A., Vol.43, No.5, 2542–2545 (1991).
- [28] D.S. Kim, S.S. Tayal, H.L. Zhou, S.T. Manson. Photoionization of atomic beryllium from the ground state, Phys. Rev. A., Vol.61, No.6, 062701–062709 (2000).
- [29] T.M. Clark et al. Observation of autoionizing states of beryllium by resonance-ionization mass spectrometry, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B., Vol.2, No.6, 891–896 (1985).
- [30] R Moccia, P Spizzo. One-photon transition probabilities and photoionisation cross section calculations of Be, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys., Vol.18, No.17, 3537–3554 (1985).
- [31] H. Bachau, P. Galan, and F. Martin. Feshbach-model potential approach for the study of resonant and bound states of Be-like ions, Phys. Rev. A., Vol.41, No.7, 3534–3544 (1990).
- [32] N. Miura, Y. Osanai, T. Noro, F. Sasaki. Theoretical determination of energies and widths of autoionizing states of the Be atom, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys., Vol.29, No.13, 26892699 (1996).
- [33] K. Bartschat, P.G. Burke, M.P. Scott. Electron-impact excitation of beryllium, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys., Vol.29, No.20, L769–L772 (1996).
- [34] J. Colgan et al. Electron-impact ionization of all ionization stages of beryllium, Phys. Rev. A., Vol.68, No.3, 032712(1–9) (2003).
- [35] R. Wehlitz, D. Lukic, J. B. Bluett. Resonance parameters of autoionizing Be 2pnl states, Phys. Rev. A., Vol.68, No.5, 052708(1–5) (2003).
- [36] C.P. Ballance et al. Electron-impact excitation of beryllium and its ions, Phys. Rev. A., Vol.68, No.6, 062705(1–11) (2003).

- [37] M.A. Uddin et al. Electron-impact ionization of beryllium isoelectronic ions, Int. J. Mass. Spectr., Vol.244, No.1, 76–83 (2005).
- [38] J.C. Cardona, J.L. Sanz-Vicario. Autoionizing states in beryllium-like atomic systems using explicitly correlated coordinates, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys., Vol.41, No.5, 055003(1–10) (2008).
- [39] M.R. Talukder. Electron impact total ionization cross sections of beryllium and boron isoelectronic ions, Appl. Phys. B., Vol.93, No.2-3, 567–574 (2008).
- [40] W.C. Chu, C.D. Lin. Theory of ultrafast autoionization dynamics of Fano resonances, Phys. Rev. A., Vol.82, No.5, 053415(1–9) (2010).
- [41] M.S. Pindzola, C.P. Ballance, F. Robicheaux, J. Colgan. Electron-impact double ionization of beryllium, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys., Vol.43, No.10, 105204(1–5) (2010).
- [42] J.M.N. Djiokap, A.F. Starace. Resonant enhancement of the harmonic generation spectrum of beryllium, Phys. Rev. A., Vol.88, No.5, 053412(1–15) (2013).
- [43] T. Maihom et al. Electron-impact ionization cross sections of beryllium and beryllium hydrides, Eur. Phys. J. D., Vol.67, No.1, 2(1–5) (2013).
- [44] O.I. Zatsarinny, K. Bartschat, D.V. Fursa, I. Bray. Calculations for electron-impact excitation and ionization of beryllium, arXiv: 1606.01326v1. [physics. atom-ph] 4 Jun 2016, 9 p.
- [45] Y.L. Shao, C. Fotakis, D. Charalambidis. Multiphoton ionization of Mg in the wavelength region of 300-214 nm, Phys. Rev. A., Vol.48, No.5, 3636–3643 (1993).
- [46] M.J. Ford et al. Electron-impact double ionization of magnesium, Phys. Rev. A., Vol.57, No.1, 325–330 (1998).
- [47] K. Bartschat, D. Weflen, X. Guan. Electron-impact ionization of magnesium, J. Phys. B: Atom. Mol. Opt. Phys., Vol.40, No.16, 3231–3239 (2007).
- [48] T.K. Fang, Y.K. Ho. Determination of resonance energies and widths of Mg 3pnl ¹D^e and ¹F⁰ doubly excited states by the stabilization method with the B-spline-based configuration interaction approach, J. Phys. B: Atom. Mol. Opt. Phys., Vol.32, No.15, 3863–3872 (1999).

- [49] A. Reber, F. Martin, H. Bachau, R.S. Berry. Twophoton above-threshold ionization of magnesium, Phys. Rev. A., Vol.65, No.6, 063413(1–7) (2002).
- [50] A. Reber, F. Martin, H. Bachau, R.S. Berry. Threephoton above-threshold ionization of magnesium, Phys. Rev. A., Vol.68, No.6, 063401(1–10) (2003).
- [51] A. Reber et al. Above-threshold ionization near the 3p4d $^{1}F^{0}$ autoionizing state in magnesium, Phys. Rev. A., Vol.71, No.5, 053402(1–7) (2005).
- [52] D. Rassi, V. Pejcev, T.W. Ottley, K.J. Ross. Highresolution ejected-electron spectrum of magnesium autoionising levels following two-electron excitation by low-energy electron impact, J. Phys. B: Atom. Mol. Phys., Vol.10, No.14, 2913–2921 (1977).
- [53] T.M. El-Sherbini, A.A. Rahman. Autoionizing states in MgI, Annalen der Phys., Vol.39, No.5, 333–337 (1982).
- [54] T.N. Chang. 3pnp ¹S autoionization states of the magnesium atom, Phys. Rev. A., Vol.36, No.11, 5468–5470 (1987).
- [55] C.J. Dai. Resonance profiles of Mg 3pns autoionizing states, Chin. Phys. Lett., Vol.12, No.3, 152–155 (1995).
- [56] R.F. Boivin, S.K. Srivastava. Electron-impact ionization of Mg, J. Phys. B: Atom. Mol. Opt. Phys., Vol.31, No.10, 2381–2394 (1998).
- [57] N.J. Kylstra, H.W. van der Hart, P.G. Burke, C.J. Joachain. Singly, doubly and triply resonant multiphoton processes involving autoionizing states in magnesium, J. Phys. B: Atom. Mol. Opt. Phys., Vol.31, No.14, 3089–3116 (1998).
- [58] J.A. Ludlow et al. Electron-impact single ionization of Mg and Al⁺, Phys. Rev. A., Vol.79, No.3, 032715(1–6) (2009).
- [59] S.M. Kazakov, O.V. Khristoforov. Electron spectra from autoionizing states of strontium and calcium excited by low and intermediate-energy electrons, Sov. Phys. JETP, Vol.61, No.4, 656–664 (1985).
- [60] D. Cvejanovic, A.J. Murray. Single ionization of calcium by electron impact, J. Phys. B: Atom. Mol. Opt. Phys., Vol.36, No.17, 3591–3605 (2003).