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We study the following non-linear integral boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x(t)}{d t}=f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in[a, b], \quad \int_{a}^{b} g(s, x(s)) d s=d \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \in C\left([a, b] \times D ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), g \in C\left([a, b] \times D ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), d \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a given vector and the domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ will be specified later (See, (7), (8)). Moreover, we suppose that $f \in \operatorname{Lip}(K, D), g \in \operatorname{Lip}\left(K_{g}, D\right)$, i.e., $f$ and $g$ locally Lipsichitzian

$$
\begin{align*}
& |f(t, u)-f(t, v)| \leq K|u-v|, \text { for all }\{u, v\} \subset D \text { and } t \in[a, b]  \tag{2}\\
& |g(t, u)-g(t, v)| \leq K_{g}|u-v|, \text { for all }\{u, v\} \subset D \text { and } t \in[a, b]
\end{align*}
$$

To study the BVP (1) we will use an approach similar to that of [1].
For vectors $x=\operatorname{col}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ the notation $|x|=\operatorname{col}\left(\left|x_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|x_{n}\right|\right)$ is used and the inequalities between vectors are understood componentwise. The same convention is adopted for operations like "max" and "min". For any non-negative vector $\rho \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ under the componentwise $\rho$-neighbourhood of a point $z \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ we understand the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{\rho}(z):=\left\{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:|\xi-z| \leq \rho\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the $\rho$-neighbourhood of a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{\rho}(\Omega):=\bigcup_{z \in \Omega} O_{\rho}(z) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A particular kind of vector $\rho$ will be specified below in relations $(7),(8)$.
$I_{n}$ is the identity matrix of dimension $n . r(K)$ is the maximal, in modulus, eigenvalue of the matrix $K$. We also assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(Q)<1, \quad Q=\frac{3(b-a)}{10} K \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us choose certain compact convex sets $D_{a} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $D_{b} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and define the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{a, b}:=(1-\theta) z+\theta \eta, \quad z \in D_{a}, \quad \eta \in D_{b}, \quad \theta \in[0,1] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and according to (4) its $\rho$-neighbourhood

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=O_{\rho}\left(D_{a, b}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a non-negative vector $\rho=\operatorname{col}\left(\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \geq \frac{b-a}{2} \delta_{[a, b], D}(f) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{[a, b], D}(f)$ denotes the $1 / 2$ of oscillation of function $f$ over $[a, b] \times D \times D$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{[a, b], D}(f):=\frac{\max _{(t, x) \in[a, b] \times D} f(t, x)-\min _{(t, x) \in[a, b] \times D} f(t, x)}{2} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Instead of the original boundary value problem (1) we will consider the family of auxiliary two-point parametrized boundary value problems

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d x(t)}{d t}=f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in[a, b]  \tag{10}\\
x(a)=z, \quad x(b)=\eta \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $z$ and $\eta$ are treated as free parameters.
Let us connect with problem (10), (11) the sequence of functions

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{m+1}(t, z, \eta)=z & +\int_{a}^{t} f\left(s, x_{m}(s, z, \eta)\right) d s \\
& -\frac{t-a}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f\left(s, x_{m}(s, z, \eta)\right) d s+\frac{t-a}{b-a}[\eta-z], \quad t \in[a, b], \quad m=0,1,2, \ldots, \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

satisfying (11) for arbitrary $z, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}(t, z, \eta)=z+\frac{t-a}{b-a}[\eta-z]=\left(1-\frac{t-a}{b-a}\right) z+\frac{t-a}{b-a} \eta, \quad t \in[a, b] . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see from (13) that $x_{0}(t, z, \eta)$ is a linear combination of vectors $z$ and $\eta$, when $z \in D_{a}$, $\eta \in D_{b}$.

We have previously proved the following statements.
Theorem 1 (Uniform convergence). Let conditions (2), (5), (8) be fulfilled.
Then, for all fixed $(z, \eta) \in D_{a} \times D_{b}$ we have

1. The functions of sequence (12) belonging to the domain $D$ of form (7) are continuously differentiable on the interval $[a, b]$ and satisfy conditions (11).
2. The sequence of functions (12) for $t \in[a, b]$ converges uniformly as $m \rightarrow \infty$ with respect to the domain $(t, z, \eta) \in[a, b] \times D_{a} \times D_{b}$ to the limit function

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\infty}(t, z, \eta)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} x_{m}(t, z, \eta) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying conditions (11).
3. The function $x_{\infty}(t, z, \eta)$ for all $t \in[a, b]$ is a unique continuously differentiable solution of the integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=z+\int_{a}^{t} f(s, x(s)) d s-\frac{t-a}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(s, x(s)) d s+\frac{t-a}{b-a}[\eta-z], \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., it is the solution to the Cauchy problem for the modified system of integro-differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x}{d t}=f(t, x(t))+\frac{1}{b-a} \Delta(z, \eta), \quad x(a)=z \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta(z, \eta): D_{a} \times D_{b} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a mapping given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(z, \eta)=[\eta-z]-\int_{a}^{b} f\left(s, x_{\infty}(s, z, \eta)\right) d s \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. The error estimation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{\infty}(t, z, \eta)-x_{m}(t, z, \eta)\right| \leqslant \frac{10}{9} \alpha_{1}(t) Q^{m}\left(1_{n}-Q\right)^{-1} \delta_{[a, b], D}(f), \quad t \in[a, b], \quad m \geq 0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where

$$
\alpha_{1}(t)=2(t-a)\left(1-\frac{t-a}{b-a}\right) \leq \frac{b-a}{2}, t \in[a, b] .
$$

Theorem 2 (Relation $x_{\infty}(t, z, \eta)$ to the solution of the original boundary value problem (1)). Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, the limit function $x_{\infty}(t, z, \eta)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} x_{m}(t, z, \eta)$ of sequence (12) is a solution to the integral boundary value problem (1) if and only if the pair of vector-parameters $(z, \eta)$ satisfies the system of $2 n$ determining algebraic equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(z, \eta):=[\eta-z]-\int_{a}^{b} f\left(s, x_{\infty}(s, z, \eta)\right) d s=0, \quad \Lambda(z, \eta)=\int_{a}^{t} g\left(s, x_{\infty}(s, z, \eta)\right) d s=d \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the base of mth approximate determining equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{m}(z, \eta):=[\eta-z]-\int_{a}^{b} f\left(s, x_{m}(s, z, \eta)\right) d s=0, \quad \Lambda_{m}(z, \eta)=\int_{a}^{t} g\left(s, x_{m}(s, z, \eta)\right) d s=d \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

introduce the mapping $H_{m}: D_{a} \times D_{b} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$

$$
H_{m}(z, \eta)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
{[\eta-z]-\int_{a}^{b} f\left(s, x_{\infty}(s, z, \eta)\right) d s}  \tag{21}\\
\Lambda_{m}(z, \eta)-d
\end{array}\right]
$$

Theorem 3 (Sufficient conditions for the solvability of the integral boundary value problem (1)). Assume that the conditions of Theorem 1 hold. Moreover, one can specify an $m \geq 1$ and set
$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ of the form $\Omega:=D_{1} \times D_{2}$, where $D_{1} \sqsubseteq D_{a}, D_{2} \sqsubseteq D_{b}$ are certain bounded open sets, such that the mapping $H_{m}$, satisfies the relation

$$
\left|H_{m}(z, \eta)\right| \triangleright_{\partial \Omega}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{10(b-a)^{2}}{27} K Q^{m}\left(I_{n}-Q\right)^{-1} \delta_{[a, b], D}(f)  \tag{22}\\
\frac{5(b-a)}{9} K_{g} Q^{m}\left(I_{n}-Q\right)^{-1} \delta_{[a, b], D}(f)
\end{array}\right]
$$

on the boundary $\partial \Omega$, where the binary relation $\triangleright_{\partial \Omega}$ in (22) means that for all $(z, \eta) \in \partial \Omega$ at least one of the components $k(z, \eta)$ of the vector $H_{m}(z, \eta)$ is greater than the corresponding component of the right hand side vector in (22). (One can see, that the number $k(z, \eta)$ of components depends on the point $(z, \eta) \in \partial \Omega$.)

If, in addition, the Brouwer's degree of the mapping $H_{m}$ does not equal to zero, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(H_{m}, \Omega, 0\right) \neq 0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists a pair $\left(z^{*}, \eta^{*}\right)$ from $D_{1} \times D_{2}$ for which the function $x^{*}(\cdot)=x_{\infty}\left(\cdot, z^{*}, \eta^{*}\right)$ is a continuously differentiable solution to the boundary value problem (1), where $x_{\infty}\left(t, z^{*}, \eta^{*}\right)=$ $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} x_{m}\left(t, z^{*}, \eta^{*}\right), t \in[a, b]$.

In order to verify condition (22) of Theorem 3 one has to use the recurrence formula (12) to compute the function $x_{m}(\cdot, z, \eta)$ analytically, depending on the parameters $z$ and $\eta$, at every point $(z, \eta) \in \partial \Omega$, verify whether at least one component of the $2 n$-dimensional vector $\left|H_{m}(z, \eta)\right|$ is strictly greater than the corresponding component of the vector at right hand side of (22). Verification of the validity of (23) is a rather difficult problem in general. But in the smooth case, it follows directly from the definition of the topological degree, that if the Jacobian matrix of the function $H_{m}$ in (21) is non-singular at its isolated zero $\left(z_{m}^{0}, \eta_{m}^{0}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{det} \frac{\partial}{\partial(z, \eta)} H_{m}\left(z_{m}^{0}, \eta_{m}^{0}\right) \neq 0
$$

then inequality (23) holds. The symbol $\frac{\partial}{\partial(z, \eta)}$ means the derivative with respect to the vector of variables $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n}\right)$.

We proved the following lemma about the continuous dependence of the limit function $x_{\infty}(\cdot, z, \eta)$ and determining functions $\Delta(z, \eta), \Lambda(z, \eta)$ defined in (19) with respect to parameters $(z, \eta) \in D_{a} \times D_{b}$.

Lemma 1. Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be satisfied for the integral boundary value problem (1). Then for arbitrary pairs of parameters $\left(z^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right) \in D_{a} \times D_{b}$ and $\left(z^{\prime \prime}, \eta^{\prime \prime}\right) \in D_{a} \times D_{b}$, the limit functions $x_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(\cdot, z^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right), x_{\infty}^{\prime \prime}\left(\cdot, z^{\prime \prime}, \eta^{\prime \prime}\right)$ of sequence (12) for $t \in[a, b]$ satisfy the following Lipschitztype condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(\cdot, z^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)-x_{\infty}^{\prime \prime}\left(\cdot, z^{\prime \prime}, \eta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right| \leq\left[I_{n}+\frac{10}{9} \alpha_{1}(\cdot) K\left(I_{n}-Q\right)^{-1}\right]\left[\left|z^{\prime}-z^{\prime \prime}\right|+\left|\eta^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime \prime}\right|\right] . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formulas (19) determine well defined functions $\Delta(z, \eta): \mathbf{R}^{2 n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $\Lambda(z, \eta): \mathbf{R}^{2 n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$, which in addition satisfy the following Lipschitz-type estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Delta\left(z^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)-\Delta\left(z^{\prime \prime}, \eta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right| \leq\left[I_{n}+\left((b-a) K+\frac{10}{27}(b-a)^{2} K\left(I_{n}-Q\right)^{-1}\right)\right]\left[\left|z^{\prime}-z^{\prime \prime}\right|+\left|\eta^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime \prime}\right|\right] \\
\left|\Lambda\left(z^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)-\Lambda\left(z^{\prime \prime}, \eta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right| \leq\left[\left((b-a) K_{g}+\frac{10}{27} K_{g}(b-a)^{2} K\left(I_{n}-Q\right)^{-1}\right)\right]\left[\left|z^{\prime}-z^{\prime \prime}\right|+\left|\eta^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime \prime}\right|\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The following statement gives a condition which is necessary for the domain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=G_{a} \times G_{b}, \quad G_{a} \sqsubseteq D_{a}, \quad G_{b} \sqsubseteq D_{b} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

to contain a pair of parameters $\left(z^{*}, \eta^{*}\right)$ determining the solution

$$
x(\cdot)=x_{\infty}\left(\cdot, z^{*}, \eta^{*}\right)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} x_{m}\left(\cdot, z^{*}, \eta^{*}\right)
$$

of the given integral boundary value problem (1).
Theorem 4. Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be satisfied for the integral boundary value problem (1). Then for domain (25) to contain a pair of parameters $\left(z^{*}, \eta^{*}\right)$ determining the solution $x(\cdot)$ of the given integral boundary value problem at the points $t=a$ and $t=b$

$$
x(a)=z^{*} \text { and } x(b)=\eta^{*},
$$

it is necessary that for all $m$ and arbitrary $\widetilde{z} \in G_{a}, \widetilde{\eta} \in G_{b}$ to be true for the approximate determining functions the following inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{m}(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{\eta}) \leq \sup _{z \in G_{a}, \eta \in G_{b}} {\left[I_{n}+\left((b-a) K+\frac{10}{27}(b-a)^{2} K\left(I_{n}-Q\right)^{-1}\right)\right]\left[\left|z^{\prime}-z^{\prime \prime}\right|+\left|\eta^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime \prime}\right|\right] } \\
&+\frac{10}{27}(b-a)^{2} K Q^{m}\left(1_{n}-Q\right)^{-1} \delta_{[a, b], D}(f), \\
& \Lambda_{m}(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{\eta}) \leq \sup _{z \in G_{a}, \eta \in G_{b}}\left[\left((b-a) K_{g}+\frac{10}{27} K_{g}(b-a)^{2} K\left(I_{n}-Q\right)^{-1}\right)\right]\left[\left|z^{\prime}-z^{\prime \prime}\right|+\left|\eta^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime \prime}\right|\right] \\
&+\frac{10}{27}(b-a)^{2} K_{g} Q^{m}\left(1_{n}-Q\right)^{-1} \delta_{[a, b], D}(f) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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