V. M. Kotsovsky, F. E. Geche, O. V. Mitsa

## ARTIFICIAL COMPLEX NEURONS WITH HALF-PLANELIKE ACTIVATION FUNCTION

The paper deals with the problems of realization of Boolean functions on neural-like units with complex weight coefficients. The relation between classes of realizable function is considered for half-plane-like activation function. We also introduce the concept of sets separability, corresponding to our notion of neuron. The iterative online learning algorithm is proposed and sufficient conditions of its convergence are given.
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## Introduction

Artificial neural networks based on neural-like units have numerous applications in different areas, such as artificial intelligence, objects classification, pattern recognition, data compression, forecasting, approximation or extrapolation of functions of many variables and many others [1]. Different networks architectures and neuron kinds are described in [1, 2]. One of most important task in the theory of feedforward neural networks with discrete activation functions is the one concerning the realization of a Boolean function on a single neuron. Its importance follows from the fact that for networks on the base of neurons with threshold-like activation function outputs of each network levels have two possible values (binary, bipolar, etc.). Minsky and Papert [3] proved that classical threshold units have enough weak capacity for recognition. Numerous improved models of neuron are proposed for overcome the mentioned limitations (see [1] for details).

In paper we deal with the one type of such extensions, namely complex neurons, which are introduced in [4]. There exists many way of complexification, e.g. [5].

Let $E_{2}=\{-1,1\}$ be the bipolar set and $E_{2}^{n}$ is an $n$-thCartesian power of $\mathrm{E}_{2}$. A Boolean function in bipolar basis is a function mapping from $\mathrm{E}_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ to $\mathrm{E}_{2}$.

A Boolean function $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ on $E_{2}^{n}$ is a Boolean threshold function if there exists a weight vector $\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) \in R^{n}$ and a threshold

[^0]$-\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ such that
for all $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in E_{2}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} x_{j}<-w_{a+1} \Leftrightarrow f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=-1$.
With intent of simplify notation we extend input and weight vectors dimension by introducing one new additional $(n+1)$-th coordinate. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, 1\right), \quad w=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}, w_{n+1}\right) \in R^{n-1}$, $(w, x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} x_{j}+w_{n+1}$ - inner product of vectors $w$ and $x$ (sometimes called a weighted sum). Thus, for any threshold function $f$ : $f(x)=\operatorname{sgn}(w, x)$, where $f(x)=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and sgn is sign function given by
\[

\operatorname{sgn} a= $$
\begin{cases}-1 & \text { if } a<0 \\ 1 & \text { if } a \geq 0\end{cases}
$$
\]

## Complex neurons

Now we extend the notion of threshold function to the complex domain. Let us consider Boolean function over alphabet $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are complex number. Let $l$ be an arbitrary line dividing the complex plane $C$ on two half-plane $C_{+}$and $C_{\text {. }}$. We may regard following sgn function

$$
\operatorname{sgn}_{1} z= \begin{cases}-1 & \text { if } z \in \mathbf{C}_{-} \\ 1 & \text { if } z \in \mathbf{C}_{+} \cup l\end{cases}
$$

A Boolean function $f:\{\alpha, \beta\}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is a complex Boolean threshold function (CBTF) in the alphabet $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ if there exists a complex weight vector $w \in C^{n+1}$ and line $l$ such that $f(x)=\operatorname{sgn}_{1}(w, \overline{\mathbf{z}})$, where $\bar{z}$ is a complex conjugate vector for $\bar{z}$ (here we used the definition of inner product in complex vector spaces).

Note that we do not use the notion of the threshold in our definition, because it is convenient to include the threshold in the weight vector.

It is easy to see that using rotation and fitting of the free term $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ we can restrict the class of possible sign function to the following function

$$
\operatorname{Resgn} z= \begin{cases}-1 & \text { if } \operatorname{Re} z<0 \\ 1 & \text { if } \operatorname{Re} z>0\end{cases}
$$

Note that "small" change of term $w_{n+1}$ allows avoiding the prossibility that the weighted sum ( $\mathbf{w}, \overline{\mathbf{z}}$ ) value lies on the division line.

Let $T_{C}(\alpha, \beta)$ be a class of all CBTF in alphabet $\{\alpha, \beta\}$. The question arises about relations existing among the classes of CBTF in different alphabets. The answer is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 1.There exists an bijective correspondence between the classes $T_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $T_{C}(\gamma, \delta)$ for arbitrary alphabets $\{\alpha, \beta\},\{\gamma, \delta\}$.

Proof.Let $f(z) \in T_{C}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then there exists $w \in C^{n+1}$ such that for all $z \in\{\alpha, \beta\}^{n} f(z)=\operatorname{Resgn}(w, \bar{z})$. The transformation $z^{\prime} \rightarrow \frac{\beta-\alpha}{\delta-\gamma}\left(z^{\prime}-\gamma\right)+\alpha$ is the one-one correspondence between sets $\{\gamma, \delta\}$ and $\{\alpha, \beta\}$. Then

$$
(\mathbf{w}, \overline{\mathbf{z}})=\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i} \mathbf{z}_{j}+w_{n+1}=\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\delta-\gamma} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} z_{j}^{\prime}+\left(\alpha-\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\delta-\gamma} \gamma\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{1} z_{i}^{\prime}+w_{n-1}=\left(w^{\prime}, \overrightarrow{\mathbf{z}}\right),
$$

where $w_{j}^{\prime}=\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\delta-\gamma} w_{j},(j=1,2, \ldots n), w_{n, 1}^{\prime}=\left(\alpha-\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\delta-\gamma} \gamma\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}+w_{n, 1}$.
Let $g\left(z^{\prime}\right)$ be a Boolean function in alphabet $\{\gamma, \delta\}$ realizable on the complex neuron with the weight vector $w^{\prime}$. It is easy to see that the correspondence $\mathbf{f} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{g}$ is bijective one between the functions from ${ }^{T} T_{C}(\alpha, \beta)$ to $T_{c}(\gamma, \delta)$.

Note, in particular, that one cannot obtain the class of CBTF more prowerful that $T_{C}(-1,1)$ by altering the alphabet.

The next question is how the cardinality of the class of CBTF changes if we restrict the set of possible value for weight vector coefficients. Let $T_{D}(\alpha, \beta)$ be the class of all CBTF of $n$ variables realizable on neurons with weight vectors from the set $D^{n+1}$, $T_{D}(\alpha, \beta)=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{D}^{n}(\alpha, \beta)$, where $D \subseteq C$.

Proposition 2.If $\operatorname{Re} \alpha \neq \operatorname{Re} \beta$, then $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}(\alpha, \beta)=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{R}}(\alpha, \beta)$.
Proof. Let us proof that equality $T_{C}^{n}(\alpha, \beta)=T_{R}^{n}(\alpha, \beta)$ holds for all non-negative integer $n$. From proposition 1 it follows that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\alpha, \beta) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\operatorname{Re} \alpha, \operatorname{Re} \beta)$. Let $f$ be an arbitrary member of $\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\operatorname{Re} \alpha, \operatorname{Re} \beta), \quad \mathrm{z} \in\{\alpha, \beta\}^{\mathrm{n}} \times\{1\}, \quad \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}+\mathrm{i} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}, \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{y}_{j} \in \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{j}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{n})$, $w_{i}=u_{j}+i v_{i}, u_{i}, v_{i} \in R,(j=1, \ldots, n+1)$. Then

$$
f(z)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} x_{j}+w_{n+1}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{j} x_{j}+u_{n+1}=\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{j} z_{j}+u_{n+1}\right) .
$$

It follows from the last equality that the classes $T_{c}^{11}(\operatorname{Re} \alpha, \operatorname{Re} \beta)$ and $T_{R}^{n}(\alpha, \beta)$ have the same cardinality. Then the same holds for classes $T_{C}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $T_{R}(\alpha, \beta)$. Since $T_{C}(\operatorname{Re} \alpha, \operatorname{Re} \beta) \subseteq T_{C}(\alpha, \beta)$, these classes are equal.

Note that for the alphabet $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ the last proposition is proved in [4].
From the previous proposition also follows that usage of neurons with weights belonging to the real line enable us to generate all CBTF. We will prove that similar fact is true for neurons with weights lying on any line in complex space.

Proposition 3.If $\gamma \in \mathrm{C}, \gamma \mathrm{R}=\{\gamma \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathrm{R}\}$ and complex numbers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ satisfy conditions $|\arg \gamma|<\frac{\pi}{2}, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha-\beta) \gamma \neq 0$, then classes $T_{C}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\gamma \mathrm{R}}(\alpha, \beta)$ coincide.

Proof. Let us consider an arbitrary CBTF $f(z) \in T_{c}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then there exists $\mathbf{w} \in C^{n+1}$ such that for each $\mathbf{z} \in\{\alpha, \beta\}^{n}$ equality Resgn( $\left.\mathbf{w}, \overline{\mathbf{z}}\right\}=\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z})$ is true, from which it follows that

$$
(w, \bar{z})=\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} z_{1}+w_{n+1}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} \gamma^{-1} \gamma z_{j}+w_{n+1}=\left(\mathbf{w}^{\prime}, \vec{z}\right),
$$

where $w_{j}^{\prime}=w_{j} \gamma^{-1}, z_{j}^{\prime}=\gamma z_{j}(j=1, \ldots, n), w_{n+1}^{\prime}=w_{n+1}$. So, for all CBTF $f(z)$ inalphabet $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ there exists uniqueCBTFg $\left(z^{\prime}\right)$ inalphabet $\{\gamma \alpha, \gamma \beta\}$ such
thatfor eachz $\in\{\alpha, \beta\}^{\prime \prime}$ equality $f(z)=g\left(z^{\prime}\right)$ holds. Using proposition 2 to function $g\left(z^{\prime}\right)$ we obtain
$\operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{w}, \overline{\mathbf{z}})=\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathbf{w}^{\prime}, \overrightarrow{\mathbf{z}}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{j} z_{j}^{\prime}+u_{n-1}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{j} \gamma \cdot z_{j}+u_{n+1}\right)=\operatorname{Re}(\overline{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{z})$,
where $u_{i} \in R, \tilde{w}_{i}=u_{i} \gamma,(j=1, \ldots, n), \tilde{w}_{n+1}=\frac{u_{n-1} \gamma}{R e \gamma}$. Thus, the Boolean function $f(z)$ is realizable on complex neuron with weight vector $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} \in \gamma \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n} / 1}$.

## Learning algorithm

We have seen that $T_{c}(\alpha, \beta)=T_{\gamma \mathrm{R}}(\alpha, \beta)$, and question how find some weight vector $w \in T_{\gamma R}(\alpha, \beta)$, corresponding to given CBTF $f$ naturally arises. That is, we need a learning algorithm for the class of CBTF.

Let $A^{-}, A^{-}$be two finite disjunctive subsets of vectors from the set $\mathbf{C}^{n} \times\{\gamma\},(\gamma \neq 0)$ (i.e. $\mathbf{A}^{+} \cap \mathbf{A}^{-}=\varnothing$ ) and $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A}^{+} \cup \mathbf{A}^{+}$. We call sets $\mathbf{A}^{+}$and $A^{-} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$-separable, if there exists vectorw $\in \gamma \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ such that for all $z \in A$ following conditions hold

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(w, \bar{z})>0 & \text { if } \\
z \in A^{\prime} \\
(w, \bar{z})<0 & \text { if } \\
z \in A^{-} .
\end{array}
$$

Next, we will suppose that there exists an angle $\phi$ and real number $c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall z \in A\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i t} z_{j}\right)\right| \geq c>0 \quad(j=1, \ldots n) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will assume (1), without any loss of generality, because $A$ is a finite set.

Let the training sample of vectors $\left\{z^{\mathbf{k}}\right\}$ satisfies following two conditions:

1) $\mathbf{z}^{k} \in A, k \in N$;
2) each element of the setArepeats in learning sample infinitely many times.

Without any loss of generalitywe will assume that $\gamma=e^{i \phi}$, where $-\frac{\pi}{2}<\phi<\frac{\pi}{2}$. Let the initial weight vector be $w^{v}=(0, \ldots, 0)$. Let us build the sequence of vectors $\left\{\mathbf{w}^{k}\right\}$ as follow:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{w}^{k}=\mathbf{w}^{k-1}+t_{k} h_{d}\left(z^{k}\right) e^{i d}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{\phi}(z)=\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{z}_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{\phi}}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{Re}\left(\overline{\mathrm{z}}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{e}^{-i \phi}\right), 1\right)$, and a coefficient $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}}$ in defined by

$$
t_{k}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \operatorname{Re}\left(w^{k-1}, \overline{z^{k}}\right) \leq 0 \text { and } z \in A_{+}  \tag{3}\\ -1 & \text { if } \operatorname{Re}\left(w^{k-1}, \overline{z^{k}}\right) \geq 0 \text { and } z \in A_{-} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

The algorithm of weights updating according to the rule (2)-(3) we call "the online learning algorithm" for the complex neural unit. The next proposition gives the sufficient condition for our learning algorithm to be convergent.

Proposition 4.If finite sets $\mathrm{A}^{+}$and $\mathrm{A}^{-}$are $\gamma$-separable, then there exists finite natural $m$ such that the sequence (2) of weight vector, obtaining according to the rules (2)-(3) of online learning algorithmyield after $m$ updates the weight vector $\mathbf{w}^{m}$, which separates sets $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ and $A^{\prime}$.

Proof.We do our proof by contradiction. Suppose that the opposite is true. We can assume that at each step of the learning algorithm the coefficients $t_{k} \neq 0$ (in opposite case we can simply throw awaysuch $\mathbf{z}^{k}$, for which $t_{k}=0$, because weights are persistent on respective steps of the algorithm). Then $\mathbf{w}^{m+1}=t_{1} h_{\phi}\left(\mathbf{z}^{1}\right) e^{i \phi}+\ldots++t_{m} h_{\phi}\left(\mathbf{z}^{m}\right) e^{i \phi}$. Now find the inner product of both sides of the last equality by $w \in R^{\pi / 1}(\gamma)$, which separates sets $A^{\prime}$ and $A^{-}$. Without loss of generality we can assume there exists $d>0$ such that $\forall z \in A$ the following inequalityholds $\left|\left(\mathbf{w}, h_{\phi}(\mathrm{z})\right)\right| \geq d>0$ (we always can satisfy it by changing in corresponding way the free term $w_{n+1}$ ). It follows from Cauchy-Schwartz inequality that

$$
\|w\| \cdot\left\|\mathbf{w}^{m+1}\right\| \geq\left|\left(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}^{m+1}\right)\right| \geq \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|\left(\mathbf{w}, h_{\phi}\left(\mathbf{z}^{k}\right)\right)\right| \geq m d
$$

and, hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w^{m i n}\right\|^{2} \geq \frac{m^{2} d^{2}}{\|w\|^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other way, if we square the both sides of (2), then we have that

$$
\left\|w^{k i}\right\|^{2}=\left\|w^{k}\right\|^{2}+2 t_{k+1} \operatorname{Re}\left(w^{k}, h_{\phi}\left(\mathbf{z}^{k+1}\right) e^{i \varphi}\right)+\left\|h_{\phi}\left(\mathbf{z}^{k}\right)\right\|^{2} .
$$

Accordingly to the learning algorithm all vectors $\boldsymbol{w}^{k}$ satisfythe conditions $\mathbf{w}^{k}=e^{i \phi} \mathbf{u}^{k}$, where $\mathbf{u}^{k} \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Re}\left(w^{k}, h_{\phi}\left(\mathbf{z}^{k i l}\right) e^{i \phi}\right)=\operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{j}^{k} e^{i \phi} \operatorname{Re}\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}_{j}^{k i 1}} e^{-i \phi}\right) \cdot \overline{e^{i \phi}}+\operatorname{Re}\left(u_{n+1}^{k} e^{i \varphi} e^{i \phi}\right)= \\
=\operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{j}^{k}\left(x_{j}^{k+1} \cos \phi-y_{j}^{k+1} \sin \phi\right)+u_{n+3}^{k}=\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{Re}\left(u_{j}^{k} e^{i \phi}\left(x_{j}^{k+1}+i y_{j}^{k+1}\right)\right)+u_{n+1}^{k} e^{i \varphi} e^{i \phi}\right)= \\
=\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} w^{k} z_{j}^{k+1}+w_{n+1}^{k} \overline{e^{i \phi}}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathbf{w}^{k}, \overline{\boldsymbol{z}^{k+1}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

From (3) it followsthat $t_{k} \operatorname{Re}\left(w^{k}, \overline{z^{k+1}}\right) \leq 0$. Then, according to last equalities and condition (1) $\left\|\mathbf{w}^{k+1}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\mathbf{w}^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|h_{d}\left(\mathbf{z}^{k+1}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq n c^{2}+1,(k=0,1, \ldots, m)$

Let us sum the last equality by $k$ from 0 tom. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w^{m+1}\right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{m}\left\|h_{\phi}\left(\mathbf{z}^{k+1}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq(m+1)\left(n c^{2}+1\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequalities (4) and (5) contradict for sufficiently large $m$. Hence, the learning process (2)-(3) cannot last infinitely long.

## Conclusion

Artificial complex neurons with the half-plane surface of activation function are enough simple and powerful computational units. Main our results concerning complex neurons with Resgn activation function are following:

1. The choice of the alphabet of Boolean functions representation has no importance for representative power of class of respective realizable Boolean functions.
2. The restriction of possible weights to ones on an almost every line in complex plane does not shrink the class of respective complex Boolean threshold functions.
3. Neurons with restricted weights can be learned by using perceptron-like learning technique.
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