



( )

[20]

[18; 19],

[16],

[23; 24],

[9],  
[13]  
[1],

[3; 4].

[28]

[2]

[26],

[11; 27],

[6],

[12]

1980-1981  
1988

( )

5

6

1989

[22, 147].

65%

1989 161  
 - 173 - 76, - 27,  
 10, - 8, - 5.  
 99, 1 [17].  
 [2, 69].  
 1991 100  
 [2, . 70].  
 ( )  
 ( )  
 1990 )  
 1990 ( )  
 [2, . 73].  
 1990 " 15  
 [22, . 151].  
 27 1991,  
 ( ),  
 17 ( )  
 27 1991  
 29 112 " 113 460

27 1991 [17]:

|     | %     | -  |
|-----|-------|----|
|     | 12.31 | 62 |
|     | 11.98 | 60 |
|     | 8.73  | 49 |
| " " | 8.67  | 48 |
|     | 7.50  | 46 |
| -   | 8.71  | 44 |
|     | 7.48  | 37 |
|     | 5.46  | 28 |
|     | 5.05  | 27 |
|     | 3.27  | 16 |
|     | 1.17  | 7  |

|  |      |    |
|--|------|----|
|  | 2.36 | 5  |
|  | 2.05 | 4  |
|  | 1.11 | 4  |
|  | 0.23 | 4  |
|  |      | 19 |

- 11, - 4, - 7, - 10, - 3, - 6, - 10, - 9, - 4, - 8, [22, 153].

( ),

90- [8, . 124].

1993

5% 8% [10, . 174-175].

| 19 | 1993 | [17]: |
|----|------|-------|
|    | %    | -     |
|    | 20.4 | 171   |
|    | 15.4 | 132   |
|    | 10.6 | 74    |
| *  | 7.3  | 41    |
|    | 5.8  | 22    |
|    | 5.4  | 16    |
|    | 0.61 | 4     |

\* 1991.

- 4, - 2, 37, - 36, - 10, - 9 [17].

1989

1994

[22, . 155].

1995

28 17

(51,7%)

[17].

1993

1995

21 1997

20

( )

21 1997 [17]:

|  | %     | -   |
|--|-------|-----|
|  | 33.83 | 201 |
|  | 27.13 | 164 |
|  | 13.37 | 60  |
|  | 7.31  | 27  |
|  | 5.56  | 6   |
|  | -     | 2   |

- 28, - 8, - 5, " - 51, - 3, - 5.  
[22, . 158].  
90-  
[22, . 160].

2000

2001  
41 (7-19 ).

23 2001 [17]:

|  | %     | -   |
|--|-------|-----|
|  | 41.04 | 216 |
|  | 12.68 | 65  |
|  | 10.20 | 53  |
|  | 9.50  | 44  |
|  | 8.98  | 42  |
|  | 7.87  | 38  |
|  | 0.36  | 2   |

- 2, 75, 2001 - 15, - 4, - 2, - 2,

1989 [1]. ( 1999 ), " "

27 1989 - 10 2002

[3].

2004

( ) . ( ) .

25 2005 [17]:

|  | %     | -   |
|--|-------|-----|
|  | 26.99 | 155 |
|  | 24.14 | 133 |
|  | 11.41 | 56  |
|  | 11.31 | 55  |
|  | 7.97  | 34  |
|  | 6.96  | 25  |
|  | 0.29  | 2   |

- 2, - 5, 49, - 34, - 7, - 3,  
1990  
23 54.04%

21 2007 [17]:

|  | %     |     |
|--|-------|-----|
|  | 41.51 | 209 |
|  | 32.11 | 166 |
|  | 13.15 | 53  |
|  | 8.91  | 31  |
|  | 0.20  | 1   |

60 - 39, 1

50%

- 1993 2007

2001

1. 1989 // [http://www.library.ukma.kiev.ua/e-lib/Mahisterium/MAG\\_ISSUE31\\_polityk/10\\_antoshevsky\\_a.PDF](http://www.library.ukma.kiev.ua/e-lib/Mahisterium/MAG_ISSUE31_polityk/10_antoshevsky_a.PDF)
2. //
3. ( , 1990-2002 ). //
4. - 2005. - 2. - .15-21. //
5. - 1992. - 1. - .6-19. , 2001. - 368 . //
6. - 1998. - 1 - .106-129. //
7. 2000. - 5. - .12-16. //
8. // - 2004. - 4. - .101-126.
9. , 2002 - 560 .

10. ... IFES, 1995. – 390 .
11. ... )// ... – 2006. – 1. – . 103-108.
12. ... // ... – 2007. – 3. – . 147-159.
13. ... ( ... )// ... , – 2008. ... 9 – 129-133.
14. ... / ... , 2006. – 432 .
15. ... / ... , – 2004. – 736 .
16. ... // ... – 2000. – 10. – . 134-144.
17. ... // <http://www.ipu.org.htm>.
18. ... // ... , – 2007. ... 7-8 – . 212-218.
19. ... , 2004. – 392 .
20. ... , 2001. – 224 .
21. ... ( ... ), 2002. – 472 .
22. ... 3 . . . 90- . 2. – : , 2002. – 464 .
23. ... // ... – 1998. – 5. – . 28-32.
24. ... , 2004. – 528 .
25. ... ( ... )// ... – 2003. – 4. – . 47-62.
26. ... // [www.kas.de/ukraine](http://www.kas.de/ukraine)
27. Lewis P.G. Political Institutionalization and Party Development in Post-Communist Poland// Europe-Asia Studies – 1994. – 46 (5). – P. 779-799.
28. Markowski R. Polski system partyjny po wyborach z 1997 roku – instytucjonalizacja czy wichrowatosc // Studia Polityczne. – 1999. – 9. – S. 7-37.

## SUMMARY

## Zadorozhny I. EVOLUTION OF THE PARTY SYSTEM OF POLAND

In this article the formation of party system in Poland in conditions of transition from totalitarianism to democracy is considered. The author of the article has defined main factors, which had made the essential influence on the development of the party system.

Thus, during its evolution the party system of Poland has overcome a political fragmentation that is one of criteria of its consolidation. However, significant enough part of voters changes the sights during the period between elections that testifies to instability of party system of the country. The elective system of Poland proportional at all levels of formation of representation authorities, elections to the Parliament establish 5% barrier to parties and 8% – for elective blocks, that considerably influences process of becoming of party system. The party system of Poland has passed becoming from initial multi-party system to system of moderate pluralism.

1.

- ( ) (Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej) – 1999  
(1991–1997 ), ( ) .
- 1990- 1993 2001
- ( ) (Uni Pracy) – 1992  
( ) ( ) . 1993
- ( ) (Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe) 1945-1947
- 1993-1997
- (Samobrona Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej) – 2000

---

|   |      |                                      |               |      |
|---|------|--------------------------------------|---------------|------|
|   | 2001 |                                      |               |      |
| ( | )    | ( ) (Unia Wolności) -                | ( ) 1994      | 1997 |
|   |      | 1992-1993                            | ( ) 1997-2000 |      |
| ( | )    | ( ) (Platforma Obywatelska) -        | 2001          |      |
|   |      | ( ) (Prawo i Sprawiedliwość) -       | 2001          |      |
|   |      | ( ) (Akcja Wyborcza „Solidarność”) - |               | 1997 |
|   |      | (36)                                 |               |      |
|   |      | ( ) (Liga Polskich Rodzin) -         | 2001          |      |