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## QUASI MULTIPLICATIVE BASES FOR BIMODULE PROBLEMS FROM SOME CLASS


#### Abstract

We consider the class of separated bimodule problems $(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{V})$ such that $\mathrm{ObK}=\mathrm{ObK}^{+} \cup \mathrm{ObK}^{-}$, which contains the linear matrix problems. For a bimodule problem satisfying some conditions with $\left|\mathrm{ObK}^{+}\right|=1$, we construct explicitly the analogue of multiplicative basis which we call quasi multiplicative. This basis makes it possible to use the covering technique.


Розглянуто клас розділених бімодульних задач ( $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{V}$ ), який містить лінійні матричні задачі і таких, що $\mathrm{ObK}=\mathrm{ObK}^{+} \cup \mathrm{ObK}^{-}$. У випадку $\left|\mathrm{ObK}^{+}\right|=1$ та виконання деяких умов скінченності побудовано аналог мультиплікативного базису бімодульної задачі, який ми називаємо квазі мультиплікативним. Цей базис дозволяє використовувати техніку накриттів.

A classification of problems of finite and tame representation type and their indecomposable representations together with a description of their representation categories belongs to the most important problems of representation theory [1-3]. A very important and effective tool for a solution of the finiteness problem is so called "covering method". This method is especially effective when the basis is multiplicative, see $[4,5]$. We give a generalization of the notion of a multiplicative basis for a class of bimodule problems containing linear matrix problems. We formulate a number of conditions for "small" subproblems providing the finiteness of their representation type. For a bimodule problem satisfying these conditions with $\left|\mathrm{ObK}^{+}\right|=1$, we construct explicitly the quasi multiplicative basis. This basis makes it possible to use the covering technique for bimodule problems from considered class.

Let $\mathbb{k}$ be algebraically closed field. Unless otherwise stated, all the categories we consider are the categories over $\mathbb{k}$, all morphism spaces are finite dimensional, and all functors are $\mathbb{k}$-linear. A category K is called local, provided for every $X \in \mathrm{Ob} \mathrm{K}$ the endomorphism algebra $\mathrm{K}(X, X)$ is local, and regular, if, in addition, every invertible morphism is automorphism. A category K is called fully additive or Krull-Schmidt category if K is a category with finite direct sums and every idempotent from K splits, i. e. it has kernel and cokernel.

A full subcategory $\mathrm{K}_{0} \subset \mathrm{~K}$ will be called an additive skeleton of K , provided $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ is regular and every $X \in \mathrm{ObK}$ is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of objects from $\mathrm{K}_{0}$. For a local category K and for every $X \in \mathrm{ObK}$ there exists the decomposition $\mathrm{K}(X, X)=\mathbb{k} 1_{X} \oplus \operatorname{Rad} X$, where $\operatorname{Rad} X$ is the Jacobson radical of the algebra $\mathrm{K}(X, X)$. If K is regular, then we denote by Rad K the radical of K , i.e. an ideal in K such that $\operatorname{Rad} \mathrm{K}(X, Y)=\mathrm{K}(X, Y)$ for $X \neq Y$, and $\operatorname{Rad} \mathrm{K}(X, X)=\operatorname{Rad} X$, $X, Y \in \mathrm{ObK}$.

Let V be a K -bimodule ( $[6]$ ). A category K (a bimodule V ) is called locally finite dimensional, if for any $X \in$ ObK the spaces $\underset{Y \in \text { Ob }}{\oplus} \mathrm{K}(X, Y)$ and $\underset{Y \in \mathrm{Ob} \mathrm{K}}{\oplus} \mathrm{K}(Y, X)$ $(\underset{Y \in \mathrm{Ob} \mathrm{K}}{\oplus} \mathrm{V}(X, Y)$ and $\underset{Y \in \mathrm{Ob} \mathrm{K}}{\oplus} \mathrm{V}(Y, X))$ are finite dimensional, and finite dimensional, provided all the spaces $\underset{X, Y \in \mathrm{Ob} \mathrm{K}}{\oplus} \mathrm{K}(X, Y)(\underset{X, Y \in \mathrm{Ob} \mathrm{K}}{\oplus} \mathrm{V}(X, Y))$ are finite dimensional.

Given a category K , we denote by add K an additive hull of K , i.e. a minimal fully additive category which contains K . For a K -bimodule V , we denote by add V the corresponding add K -bimodule.

A pair $\mathcal{A}=(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{V})$ consisting of a category K and a K -bimodule V is called a bimodule problem over K or shortly bimodule problem. A bimodule problem $\mathcal{A}$ will be called normal, provided the category K is regular, and both K and V are locally finite dimensional All the bimodule problems we will consider are assumed to be normal. Given some $S \subset \mathrm{ObK}$ denote by $\mathrm{K}_{S}$ the full subcategory of K with $\mathrm{ObK}_{S}=S$, and by $\mathrm{V}_{S}$ the subbimodule $\left.\mathrm{V}\right|_{S}=\mathrm{K}_{S} \mathrm{VK}_{S}$. A bimodule problem $\mathcal{A}_{S}=\left(\mathrm{K}_{S}, \mathrm{~V}_{S}\right)$ is called the restriction of $\mathcal{A}$ to $S$.

For a bimodule problem $\mathcal{A}=(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{V})$, a representation $M$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is a pair $M=$ $\left(M_{\mathrm{K}}, M_{\mathrm{V}}\right)$, where $M_{\mathrm{K}} \in \mathrm{Ob}$ add $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Ob}$ add K and $M_{\mathrm{V}} \in \operatorname{add} \mathrm{V}\left(M_{\mathrm{K}}, M_{\mathrm{K}}\right)$. If $M$, $N$ are two representations of $\mathcal{A}$, then a morphism $f$ from $M$ to $N$ is a morphism $f \in \operatorname{add} \mathrm{~K}\left(M_{\mathrm{K}}, N_{\mathrm{K}}\right)$ such that $N_{\mathrm{V}} \cdot f-f \cdot M_{\mathrm{V}}=0$. The composition of morphisms and the unit morphisms in the representation category $\operatorname{rep} \mathcal{A}$ and in the category add K coincide.

Given two bimodule problems $\mathcal{A}=(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{V})$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{K}^{\prime}, \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right)$, a morphism of bimodule problems $\theta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is a pair $\theta=\left(\theta_{0}, \theta_{1}\right)$, where $\theta_{0}: \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ is a $\mathbb{k}$ functor, $\theta_{1}: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ is a K -bimodule morphism with the K -bimodule structure on $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ induced by $\theta_{0}$ ([6]).

Let $\mathcal{A}=(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{V})$ be a normal bimodule problem. Bigraph $\Sigma\left(=\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=\left(\Sigma_{0}, \Sigma_{1}\right)$ is called a basis of the bimodule problem $\mathcal{A}$, if $\Sigma_{0}=\operatorname{ObK}, \Sigma_{1}^{0}(X, Y)$ is a basis of $\mathrm{V}(X, Y)$, and $\Sigma_{1}^{1}(X, Y)$ is a basis of $\operatorname{Rad} \mathrm{K}(X, Y), X, Y \in \mathrm{ObK}$. For all $x, y \in \Sigma_{1}$ such that the product $x y$ is not specified, we assume $x y=0$.

Let V be a K -bimodule. We say that $x \in \operatorname{Rad} \mathrm{~K}(X, Y)$ annihilates the bimodule V , if $x a=0, b x=0$ for any $Z \in \mathrm{ObK}, a \in \mathrm{~V}(Z, X), b \in \mathrm{~V}(Y, Z)$. The ideal of the category K consisting of all annihilate elements is called the annihilator of V and is denoted by $\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathrm{V})$. A bimodule V is called faithful provided $\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathrm{V})=0$. We call a bimodule problem $\mathcal{A}=(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{V})$ faithful, if the bimodule V is faithful. For a bimodule problem $\mathcal{A}$, a faithful part of $\mathcal{A}$ is defined as the faithful bimodule problem $\mathcal{A}_{\text {red }}, \mathcal{A}_{\text {red }}=\left(\mathrm{K}_{\text {red }}, \mathrm{V}\right)$, where $\mathrm{K}_{\text {red }}=\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{V})$.

Let $\mathcal{A}=(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{V})$ be a normal bimodule problem, $\mathrm{R}=\operatorname{Rad} \mathrm{K}, \Sigma$ be a basis of $\mathcal{A}$. The integer $N$ is called the global triangled height of $\mathcal{A}$ if $\mathrm{R}^{N+1}=0, \mathrm{R}^{N} \mathrm{~V}=0$, and either or $\mathrm{R}^{N} \neq 0$ or $\mathrm{R}^{N-1} \mathrm{~V} \neq 0$. Denote by $\mathrm{V}_{i}=\mathrm{R}^{i-1} \mathrm{~V}, i=1, \ldots, N$. We have two filtrations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R} \supset \mathrm{R}^{2} \supset \ldots \supset \mathrm{R}^{N} \supset 0, \quad \mathrm{~V}_{1} \supset \mathrm{~V}_{2} \supset \ldots \supset \mathrm{~V}_{N} \supset 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The map $h: \mathrm{R} \cup \vee \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $h(x)=i$ if $x \in\left(\mathrm{R}^{i} \backslash \mathrm{R}^{i+1}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{V}_{i} \backslash \mathrm{~V}_{i+1}\right)$, is called the triangled height of an element. The element $x \in \Sigma_{1}$ is called minimal, if $h(x)=1$. Let $h(0)=\infty$. Then $h(x y) \geqslant h(x)+h(y)$ and $h(x+y) \geqslant \max \{h(x), h(y)\}$ for all $x, y \in \Sigma_{1}$. Let $\Sigma_{1}^{k(i)}=\left\{x \in \Sigma_{1}^{k} \mid h(x)=i\right\}, i=1, \ldots, N, k=0,1$. Clearly, the set $\left\{\Sigma_{1}^{k(i)}, i=1, \ldots, N\right\}$ is a partition of $\Sigma_{1}^{k}, k=0,1$.

Definition 1. The basis $\Sigma$ of bimodule problem $\mathcal{A}$ is called triangled (with respect to the filtration (1)), if $\bigcup_{l=i}^{N} \Sigma_{1}^{1^{(l)}}$ is a basis of $\mathrm{R}^{i}, \bigcup_{l=i}^{N} \Sigma_{1}^{0(l)}$ is a basis of $\mathrm{V}_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$.

Lemma 1 ([2]). Every normal bimodule problem $\mathcal{A}$ with the nilpotent radical has a triangled basis.

Definition 2. A bimodule problem $\mathcal{A}=(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{V})$ is called admitted if the set Ob K can be decomposed in a disjoint union $\mathrm{ObK}=\mathrm{ObK}^{+} \cup \mathrm{ObK}^{-}$such that $\mathrm{V}(X, Y) \neq 0$ implies $X \in \mathrm{ObK}^{-}, Y \in \mathrm{ObK}^{+}$and $\operatorname{Rad} \mathrm{K}(X, Y) \neq 0$ implies $X, Y \in \mathrm{ObK}^{+}$.

The property of a bimodule problem $\mathcal{A}$ to be admitted depends only on the bigraph $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$, therefore we will use the notation $\Sigma_{0}^{+}=\mathrm{ObK}^{+}$and $\Sigma_{0}^{-}=\mathrm{ObK}^{-}$.

Remark 1. For a triangled basis $\Sigma$ of an admitted bimodule problem, the following properties hold:

1) $\Sigma_{1}^{1(i)}$ is a basis of $\mathrm{R}^{i} / \mathrm{R}^{i+1}$ modulo $\mathrm{R}^{i+1}, \Sigma_{1}^{0(i)}$ is a basis of $\mathrm{V}_{i} / \mathrm{V}_{i+1}$ modulo $\mathrm{V}_{i+1}, i=1, \ldots, N$;
2) for any $x \in \Sigma_{1}, h(x)=i$ if and only if $x \in \Sigma_{1}{ }^{(i)}$;
3) for $x \in \mathrm{R}$ the equality $x=\sum_{\varphi \in \Sigma_{1}^{1}} \lambda_{\varphi} \varphi, \lambda_{\varphi} \in \mathbb{k}$, implies $h(\varphi) \geqslant h(x)$ for any $\varphi \in \Sigma_{1}^{1}$ with $\lambda_{\varphi} \neq 0$;
4) for $x \in \mathrm{~V}$ the equality $x=\sum_{a \in \Sigma_{1}^{0}} \lambda_{a} a, \lambda_{a} \in \mathbb{k}$, implies $h(a) \geqslant h(x)$ for any $a \in \Sigma_{1}^{0}$ with $\lambda_{a} \neq 0$.

Remark 2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an admitted bimodule problem with the global triangled height $N$. There are the decompositions of $\mathbb{k}$-vector spaces:

$$
\mathrm{V}_{i}=\underset{E \in \Sigma_{0}^{-}, A \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}}{\oplus} \mathrm{V}_{i}(E, A), \quad \mathrm{R}^{i}=\underset{A, B \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}}{\oplus} \mathrm{R}^{i}(A, B), \quad i=1, \ldots, N
$$

with the multiplications:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{R}^{i}(A, B) \times \mathrm{V}_{j}(E, A) \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{i+j}(E, B), & A, B \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}, E \in \Sigma_{0}^{-}, \\
\mathrm{R}^{i}(B, C) \times \mathrm{R}^{j}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{i+j}(A, C), & A, B, C \in \Sigma_{0}^{+} .
\end{array}
$$

Definition 3. Let $E \in \Sigma_{0}^{-}, A, B \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}$(in particular, $A=B$ ), $a \in \mathrm{~V}(E, A)$,
 shall write or $a<_{r} b$. The partial order $<_{\mathrm{R}}$ on V is transitive due to the associativity: if $a_{1}<_{r_{1}} a_{2}$ and $a_{2}<_{r_{2}} a_{3}$ then $a_{1}<_{r} a_{3}$ with $r=r_{2} r_{1}$. The order $\underset{R}{<}$ on V is non-reflexive due to the associativity and triangularity conditions. Two elements $a, b \in \mathrm{~V}$ are called comparable is either $a \underset{\mathrm{R}}{<_{\mathrm{R}}}$ or $\underset{\mathrm{R}}{<_{\mathrm{R}}}$. For $A \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}$let $\operatorname{ord} A=$ $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathfrak{k}} \sum_{E \in \Sigma_{0}^{-}} \mathrm{V}(E, A)$.

Let us define the class $\mathcal{C}$ of bimodule problems we will consider. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is normal admitted faithful bimodule problem with the nilpotent radical R and the triangled basis $\Sigma$ with respect to the filtration (1) such that for any $E \in \Sigma_{0}^{-}, A, B \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}, A \neq B$ :

1) ord $A \leqslant 3$;
2) if $a_{1}, a_{2} \in \mathrm{~V}(E, A)$ are linearly independent, then $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are comparable;
3) if ord $A=\operatorname{ord} B=3$, then any $a \in \mathrm{~V}(E, A), b \in \mathrm{~V}(E, B)$ are comparable;
4) if $\mathrm{R}(A, B)=\{\varphi\}$, then $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{k}}\left(\varphi \sum_{E \in \Sigma_{0}^{-}} \mathrm{V}(E, A)\right)<3$.

Remark that if one of the conditions 1)-4) is not true, then bimodule problem $\mathcal{A}$ is of strictly unbounded type ( [2]).

For any $x \in \mathrm{R} \cup \mathrm{V}$ there is a basis decomposition $x=\sum_{y \in \Sigma_{1}} \lambda_{y} y \in \Sigma_{1}, \lambda_{y} \in \mathbb{k}$. Denote by $\operatorname{con}_{y} x=\lambda_{y}$ the content of $y$ in $x$. Two nonzero elements $x, y \in \mathrm{~K} \cup \mathrm{~V}$ are called proportional if $\mathbb{k}^{*} x=\mathbb{k}^{*} y$, in this case we write $x \approx y$.

Let $A, B \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}$(in particular, $A=B$ ). For $\varphi, \psi \in \mathrm{R}(A, B)$ we say that $\varphi<\psi$ if $\psi \in \mathrm{R}(B, B) \varphi \cup \varphi \mathrm{R}(A, A) \cup \mathrm{R}(B, B) \varphi \mathrm{R}(A, A)$. If $\varphi<\psi$, then $h(\varphi)<h(\psi)$.

Definition 4. Given $A, B \in \mathrm{ObK}^{+}$, define by $\mathcal{A}^{(A, B)}=\left(\mathrm{K}^{(A, B)}, \mathrm{V}^{(A, B)}\right)$ the full restriction of the bimodule problem $\mathcal{A}$ to the set $S_{A, B}=\{A, B\} \cup\{s(a) \mid a \in$ $\left.\Sigma_{1}^{0}, e(a) \in\{A, B\}\right\}$, where $s, e: \Sigma_{1} \rightarrow \Sigma_{0}$ are maps taking starting $s(a)$ and ending $e(x)$ vertices of an arrow a. Denote by $\Sigma^{(A, B)} \subset \Sigma$ the restriction of the basis $\Sigma$ of $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}^{(A, B)}$. We will write $\mathcal{A}^{(A)}$ instead of $\mathcal{A}^{(A, A)}$ in the case $A=B$. The bimodule problems $\mathcal{A}^{(A, B)}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{(A)}$ inherit the triangled structure from $\mathcal{A}$ (and may have the proper one). Denote by $h^{\prime}: \Sigma_{1}^{(A, B)} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ the induced triangled height.

Let $S \subset \Sigma_{0}$, and $\mathcal{A}_{S}=\left(\mathrm{K}_{S}, \mathrm{~V}_{S}\right)$ be a restriction of bimodule problem $\mathcal{A}=(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{V})$ to the set $S$. Given a faithful bimodule problem $\mathcal{A}$, the bimodule problem $\mathcal{A}_{S}$ can be non-faithful.

Remark 3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an admitted bimodule problem, and $A, B \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is faithful, then bimodule problems $\mathcal{A}^{(A, B)}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{(A)}$ are faithful as well. Moreover, $\mathrm{R}(A, B)=\operatorname{Rad}\left(\mathcal{A}^{(A, B)}\right)(A, B)$. This fact follows from the equality

$$
\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathrm{V})=\underset{A, B \in \mathrm{Ob} \mathrm{~K}^{+}}{\cup} \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathrm{K}^{(A, B)}}\left(\mathrm{V}^{(A, B)}\right)
$$

Given a bimodule problem $\mathcal{A}$, a change of $\Sigma$ consists of a family of changes of bases in all $\mathrm{V}(E, A)$ (the change of $\Sigma_{1}^{0}$ ) and all $\mathrm{R}(A, B)$ (the change of $\Sigma_{1}^{1}$ ), $A, B \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}, E \in \Sigma_{0}^{-}$. These new bases gives the new basis $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{A}$. The change is called triangled, provided both $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^{\prime}$ allow a triangled filtration.

Definition 5. Let $x, y \in \Sigma_{1}^{i}, i=0,1$, and $s(x)=s(y), e(x)=e(y)$. The change of basis from $\Sigma$ to $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is called an elementary change provided $x^{\prime}=x+\lambda y, \lambda \in \mathbb{k}$, and $z^{\prime}=z$ for all $z \in \Sigma_{1}^{i} \backslash\{x\}$. An elementary change is called correct, if $h(x) \leqslant h(y)$. The change of basis from $\Sigma$ to $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is called standard if it is the superposition of correct elementary changes. We will use only standard changes of basis. Usually we do not modify the notations of basic elements after the elementary change of basis and write $x$ instead $x^{\prime}$.

For $a, b \in \Sigma_{1}^{0}(E, A)$ let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{S}(a, b) & =\left\{\xi \in \Sigma_{1}^{1}(A, B) \mid \operatorname{con}_{b}(\xi a) \neq 0\right\}, \\
\Sigma_{1}^{1}(a, b) & =\left\{\xi \in \Sigma_{1}^{1}(A, B) \mid \xi a \approx b\right\} \subset \mathrm{S}(a, b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\varphi \in \Sigma_{1}^{1}$, denote $\mathrm{P}_{\varphi}=\left\{(a, b) \in \Sigma_{1}^{0} \times \Sigma_{1}^{0} \mid \varphi \in \mathrm{S}(a, b)\right\}$.
Remark 4. Let $a, b \in \Sigma_{1}^{0}$. If $\varphi, \psi \in \mathrm{S}(a, b)$ and $h(\varphi) \leqslant h(\psi)$, then there is a correct elementary change of basis $\varphi^{\prime}=\varphi-\lambda \psi, \lambda \in \mathbb{k}^{*}$, such that $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}(a, b)=$ $\mathrm{S}(a, b) \backslash\{\varphi\}$. Indeed, since $h(\varphi) \leqslant h(\psi)$, then the elementary change of basis $\varphi^{\prime}=$ $\varphi-\frac{\operatorname{con}_{b}(\varphi a)}{\operatorname{con}_{b}(\psi a)} \psi$ is correct and leads to the condition $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}(a, b)=\mathrm{S}(a, b) \backslash\{\varphi\}$.

Definition 6. The triangled basis $\Sigma$ of a bimodule problem $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}$ is called quasi multiplicative if the following properties hold.

1) For any $a \in \Sigma_{1}^{0}$ and $\varphi \in \Sigma_{1}^{1}$ there exists $b \in \Sigma_{1}^{0}$ such that $\varphi a \approx b$ whenever $\varphi a \neq 0$. In particular, $\mathrm{S}(a, b)=\Sigma_{1}^{1}(a, b)$.
2) Given $\varphi, \psi \in \Sigma_{1}^{1}$ with $\psi \varphi \neq 0$, one of the following conditions holds:
(a) there is $\tau \in \Sigma_{1}^{1}$ such that $\psi \varphi \approx \tau$;
(b) there are $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \in \Sigma_{1}^{1}$ such that $\psi \varphi=\lambda_{1} \tau_{1}+\lambda_{2} \tau_{2}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{k}^{*}$, and there exist $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i} \in \Sigma_{1}^{0}, i=1,2$ such that $\varphi a_{i} \approx b_{i}, \psi b_{i} \approx c_{i}, i=1,2$, and $\tau_{j} a_{i}=\delta_{i j} c_{i}, i, j=1,2$ (here $\delta_{i j}$ is a Kronecker symbol). It is possible here that two of the vertices $A, B, C$ are equal.

3) For any $a, b \in \Sigma_{1}^{0}$ inequality $\left|\Sigma_{1}^{1}(a, b)\right| \leqslant 2$ holds. If $\Sigma_{1}^{1}(a, b)=\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right\}$, $a \in \Sigma_{1}^{0}(E, A), b \in \Sigma_{1}^{0}(E, B)$, then $A \neq B$, ord $A=$ ord $B=3$, and the following conditions hold:
(a) $\left|\mathrm{P}_{\varphi_{1}}\right|=\left|\mathrm{P}_{\varphi_{2}}\right|=2$ and $\left|\mathrm{P}_{\varphi_{1}} \cap \mathrm{P}_{\varphi_{2}}\right|=1$;
(b) if $\mathrm{P}_{\varphi_{i}}=\left\{(a, b),\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right\}, i=1,2$, then $\Sigma_{1}^{1}\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)=\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}$ and $a_{1} \neq a_{2}$, $b_{1} \neq b_{2}$.


Lemma 2. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}$ be a bimodule problem with triangled basis $\Sigma, A \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}, E \in$ $\Sigma_{0}^{-}$, let $\mathcal{A}_{\{A, E\}}$ be the restriction of $\mathcal{A}$, let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}=\mathcal{A}_{\{A, E\}}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{K}^{\prime}, \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right)$ be the faithful part of $\mathcal{A}_{\{A, E\}}$, let $\Sigma^{\prime}$ be the restriction of $\Sigma$ to $\mathcal{A}_{\{A, E\}}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{R}(A, A) / \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}(E, A)$. Then $\Sigma_{1}^{\prime 0}=\Sigma_{1}^{0}(E, A), \Sigma_{1}^{\prime 1} \subset \Sigma_{1}^{1}(A, A), \mathrm{R}^{\prime 3}=0$ and after the suitable correct in $\mathcal{A}$ triangled change of basis we obtain one of the following possible cases:

1) $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}=1, \Sigma_{1}^{0}(E, A)=\left\{a_{1}\right\}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=0$.
2) $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}=2$, $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{V}_{2}^{\prime}=1$ (see (1)). Then $\Sigma_{1}^{0}(E, A)=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$ with $a_{2} \in \mathrm{~V}_{2}^{\prime}$, $a_{1} \in \mathrm{~V}_{1}^{\prime} \backslash \bigvee_{2}$, and $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha_{12}\right\}, \alpha_{12} a_{1}=a_{2}$.
3) $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathfrak{k}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}=3$, $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathfrak{k}} \mathrm{V}_{1}^{\prime} / \mathrm{V}_{2}^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathfrak{k}} \mathrm{V}_{2}^{\prime} / \mathrm{V}_{3}^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathfrak{k}} \mathrm{V}_{3}^{\prime}=1$. Let $\Sigma_{1}^{0}(E, A)=$ $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\}$ with $a_{3} \in \mathrm{~V}_{3}^{\prime}, a_{2} \in \mathrm{~V}_{2}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}_{3}^{\prime}$, $a_{1} \in \mathrm{~V}_{1}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}_{2}^{\prime}$, then for the radical $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ the following holds: $\Sigma^{1}{ }_{1}(A, A)=\left\{\alpha_{12}, \alpha_{23}, \alpha_{13}\right\}$, where $\alpha_{12}, \alpha_{23} \in \mathrm{R}^{\prime} / \mathrm{R}^{\prime 2}, \alpha_{13} \approx \alpha_{23} \alpha_{12} \in$ $\mathrm{R}^{\prime 2}, \alpha_{i j} a_{i} \approx a_{j}$, and, probably, $\alpha_{12}=\alpha_{23}$.

Proof. By the definition of class $\mathcal{C}, \operatorname{dim}_{\mathfrak{k}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \leqslant 3$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathfrak{k}} \mathrm{V}_{i}^{\prime} / \mathrm{V}_{i+1}^{\prime} \leqslant 1, i=1,2$. Then $\mathrm{R}^{\prime 3}=0$ since $\mathcal{A}$ is faithful.

Assume $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \neq 0$. Then $\mathrm{V}_{2}^{\prime} \neq 0$. Denote $\mathrm{V}_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{a_{1}\right\}, \mathrm{V}_{2}^{\prime}=\left\{a_{2}\right\}$. There is $\varphi \in \mathrm{R}_{1}^{\prime}$ such that $\varphi a_{1} \approx a_{2}$ (since $\mathrm{R}_{1}^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{V}_{1}^{\prime}=\mathrm{V}_{2}^{\prime}$ ). Choose the element $\varphi$ from $X_{12}=\left\{\xi \in \mathrm{R}(A, A) \mid \operatorname{con}_{a_{2}} \xi a_{1} \neq 0\right\}$ with the minimal height $h^{\prime}(\varphi)$. If $\left|X_{12}\right|>1$ then we do the correct elementary change of basis (as in remark 4) in order to obtain $X_{12}^{\prime}=X_{12} \backslash\{\varphi\}$. Otherwise, one of the following cases occur:

1) $\varphi a_{1} \approx a_{2}$;
2) $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}=3, \mathrm{~V}_{3}^{\prime}=\left\{a_{3}\right\}$, and $\varphi a_{1}=\lambda_{2} a_{2}+\lambda_{3} a_{3}$; in this case we do the correct elementary change of basis $a_{2}^{\prime}=a_{2}+\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{2}} a_{3}$ to obtain $\varphi a_{1} \approx a_{2}^{\prime}$.

So it remains to consider the case $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}=3, \mathrm{~V}_{3}^{\prime}=\left\{a_{3}\right\}$, and $X_{12}=\left\{\varphi_{12}\right\}$, $\varphi_{12} a_{1}=\lambda_{12} a_{2}, \lambda_{12} \in \mathbb{k}$. For any $\psi \in X_{23}=\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}(A, A) \mid \operatorname{con}_{a_{3}} \xi a_{2} \neq 0\right\}$, $\psi a_{2} \approx a_{3}$ and $h^{\prime}(\psi)=1$. If $\left|X_{23}\right|>1$ then there is the correct elementary change of basis leaving $X_{12}$ without change such that $\left|X_{23}^{\prime}\right|=\left|X_{23}\right|-1$. Otherwise we have $X_{23}=\left\{\varphi_{23}\right\}$, where, probably, $\varphi_{23}=\varphi_{12}$, and $\varphi_{23} a_{2}=\lambda_{23} a_{3}$.

Consider the set $X_{13}=\left\{\xi \in \mathrm{R}(A, A) \mid \operatorname{con}_{a_{3}} \xi a_{1} \neq 0\right\}$. Here $h^{\prime}(\xi)=2$ and $\xi a_{1} \approx a_{3}$ for any $\xi \in X_{13}$. As above, $X_{13}=\left\{\varphi_{13}\right\}$ and $\varphi_{13} a_{1}=\lambda_{13} a_{3}, \lambda_{13} \in \mathbb{k}$. Then the associativity $\left(\varphi_{23} \varphi_{12}\right) a_{1}=\varphi_{23}\left(\varphi_{12} a_{1}\right)=\lambda_{12} \lambda_{23} a_{3}$ implies $\varphi_{23} \varphi_{12}=\frac{\lambda_{12} \lambda_{23}}{\lambda_{13}} \varphi_{13}$.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}$ be a bimodule problem with a triangled basis $\Sigma$ such that $\left|\Sigma_{0}^{+}\right|=1$. Then there exists a triangled change of basis from $\Sigma$ to quasi multiplicative basis $\Sigma^{\prime}$.

According to the definition 6 quasi multiplicativity of basis it is sufficient to check on the bimodule problems $\mathcal{A}^{(A, B)}$ for all $A, B \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}$. Since $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}$ is a faithful bimodule problem, then the bimodule problems $\mathcal{A}^{(A)}, \mathcal{A}^{(B)}, \mathcal{A}^{(A, B)}$ are faithful as well by Remark 3.

First of all we consider the full subproblem $\mathcal{A}^{(A)}$ for any $A \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}$. Since ord $A \leqslant 3$ for $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\left|\left(\Sigma^{(A)}\right)_{0}^{-}\right| \leqslant 3$ and $a \neq a^{\prime} \in\left(\Sigma^{(A)}\right)_{1}^{0}$ are comparable if and only if $s(a)=s\left(a^{\prime}\right)$. We show that there exists a finite superposition of correct in $\mathcal{A}$ elementary changes of $\Sigma_{1}^{1}(A, A)$ and $\cup \Sigma_{1}^{0}(E, A)$ such that the obtained basis $\Sigma^{(A)}$ of $\mathcal{A}^{(A)}$ is quasi multiplicative. Moreover, $\left|\mathrm{S}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant 1$ for every non-equal $a_{1}, a_{2} \in$ $\left(\Sigma^{(A)}\right)_{1}^{0}$. If $\left|\left(\Sigma^{(A)}\right)_{0}^{-}\right|=1$ then the proof follows from the lemma 2. Otherwise, if $\left|\left(\Sigma^{(A)}\right)_{0}^{-}\right|=\left|\left(\Sigma^{(A)}\right)_{1}^{0}\right|$, then $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=0$ and $\Sigma_{1}^{1}(A, A)=\varnothing$. In the case $\left(\Sigma^{(A)}\right)_{0}^{-}=$ $\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}\right\}$ and $\left|\left(\Sigma^{(A)}\right)_{1}^{0}\right|=3$ we have (up to renumbering) $\left(\Sigma^{(A)}\right)_{1}^{0}\left(E_{1}, A\right)=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$, $\left(\Sigma^{(A)}\right)_{1}^{0}\left(E_{2}, A\right)=\{a\}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is faithful, then $\Sigma_{1}^{1}(A, A)=\{\alpha\}$, and $a_{2} \approx \alpha a_{1}$. Therefore, any case leads to construction of quasi multiplicative basis.
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